arXiv:1005.5471v1 [math.CV] 29 May 2010

SZEGÖ KERNEL ASYMPTOTICS AND MORSE INEQUALITIES ON CR MANIFOLDS

CHIN-YU HSIAO AND GEORGE MARINESCU

Abstract. Let X be an abstract compact orientable CR manifold of dimension $2n-1$, $n\geqslant 2$, and let L^k be the k-th tensor power of a CR complex line bundle L over X. We assume that condition $Y(q)$ holds at each point of X. In this paper we obtain a scaling upper-bound for the Szegö kernel on $(0,q)$-forms with values in L^k, for large k. This gives after integration weak Morse inequalities, analogues of the holomorphic Morse inequalities of Demailly. By a refined spectral analysis we obtain also strong Morse inequalities. We apply the strong Morse inequalities to the embedding of some convex-concave manifolds.

Contents

1. Introduction and statement of the main results 1
1.1. Terminology and Notations 2
1.2. CR complex line bundles, Semi-classical $\overline{\partial}_b$-Complex and $\Box_b$ 3
1.3. The main results 4
1.4. Sketch of the proof of Theorem 1.5 7
2. The estimates of the Szegö kernel function $\Pi^{(q)}_k$ 8
2.1. The Szegö kernel function $\Pi^{(q)}_k(x)$ and the extremal function $S^{(q)}_{k,J}(x)$ 8
2.2. The scaling technique 8
2.3. The Heisenberg group H_n 13
2.4. The estimates of the Szegö kernel function $\Pi^{(q)}_k$ 14
3. The Szegö kernel function on the Heisenberg group H_n 16
3.1. The partial Fourier transform 16
3.2. Estimates for the extremal function on the Heisenberg group 20
3.3. The Szegö kernel function on the Heisenberg group 24
4. Szegö kernel asymptotics and weak Morse inequalities on CR manifolds 25
5. Strong Morse inequalities on CR manifolds 28
6. Examples 31
6.1. The main results in the embedded cases 31
6.2. Holomorphic line bundles over complex torus 33
6.3. Compact Heisenberg groups: non-embedded cases 34
References 35

1. Introduction and statement of the main results

The purpose of this paper is to establish analogues of the holomorphic Morse inequalities of Demailly for CR manifolds. Demailly [16] proved remarkable asymptotic Morse inequalities for the $\overline{\partial}$ complex constructed over the line bundle L^k as $k\to\infty$, where L is a holomorphic hermitian line bundle. Shortly after, Bismut [8] gave a heat equation proof of Demailly's inequalities, which involves probability theory. Later Demailly [17] and Bouche [11] replaced the probability technique by a classical heat kernel argument.

First-named author is supported by a postdoctoral grant of the Swedish research council.
Second-named author partially supported by the SFB/TR 12.

The book [26] introduced an argument based on the asymptotic of the heat kernel of the Kodaira Laplacian by using the rescaling of the coordinates and functional analytic techniques inspired by Bismut-Lebeau [9, §11] (see also Bismut-Vasserot [10]). A different approach was introduced by Berndtsson [7] and developed by Berman [5, 6]; they work with the Bergman kernel and use the mean value estimate for eigensections of the Kodaira Laplacian. The idea of all these proofs is localization of the analytic objects (eigenfunctions, kernels) and scaling techniques. See also Fu-Jacobowitz [21] for related results on domains of finite type.

Inspired by Bismut's paper, Getzler [22] gave an expression involving local data for the large k limit of the trace of heat kernel of the $\overline{\partial}_b$-Laplacian on L^k, where L is a CR line bundle over a CR strongly pseudoconvex manifold. But Getzler didn't infer from this asymptotics Morse inequalities for the $\overline{\partial}_b$-complex.

In this paper we introduce a method that produces Morse inequalities with computable bounds for the growth of the $\overline{\partial}_b$ coholmology and allows also more general CR manifolds. Our approach is related to the techniques of Berman [5] and Shaw-Wang [32].

In a project developed jointly with R. Ponge [31, 29] we use the heat kernel asymptotics and Heisenberg calculus to prove holomorphic Morse inequalities for a line bundle endowed with the CR Chern connection. This method predicts similar results and applications as of the present paper.

For a complex manifold with boundary, the $\overline{\partial}_b$-cohomology of the boundary is linked to the $\overline{\partial}$-cohomology of the interior, cf. Kohn-Rossi [25], Andreotti-Hill [2, 3]. Stephen S.T. Yau [33] exhibited the relation between the $\overline{\partial}_b$-cohomology of the boundary of a strictly pesudoconvex Stein analytic space with isolated singularities and invariants of the singular points. Holomorphic Morse inequalites for manifolds with boundary were obtained by Berman [6] and in [28, 30] (cf. also [26, Ch. 3]). The bounds in the Morse inequalities appearing in this paper are similar to the boundary terms in Berman's result [6]. For the relation between the boundary and interior cohomology of high tensor powers L^k see also [27].

On the other hand, the $\overline{\partial}_b$-complex on an abstract CR manifold has important consequences for the embedability and deformation of the CR-structure, see the embedding theorem of Boutet de Monvel [13] for strictly pseudoconvex CR manifolds and the paper of Epstein-Henkin [19].

In this paper we will study the large k behavior of the Szegö kernel function $\Pi^{(q)}_k(x)$, which is the restriction to the diagonal of the integral kernel of the projection $\Pi^{(q)}_k$ on the harmonic $(0,q)$-forms with values in L^k. The Szegö kernel for functions on a strictly pseudoconvex CR manifold was studied by Boutet de Monvel [12] and Boutet de Monvel-Sjöstrand [14] and has important applications in complex analysis and geometry.

1.1. **Terminology and Notations.** Let $(X,\Lambda^{1,0}T(X))$ be a compact orientable CR manifold of dimension $2n-1$, $n\geqslant 2$. Fix a smooth Hermitian metric $(\,|\,)$ on $\mathbb{C}T(X)$ so that $\Lambda^{1,0}T(X)$ is orthogonal to $\Lambda^{0,1}T(X)$ and $(u\,|\,v)$ is real if u, v are real tangent vectors, where $\Lambda^{0,1}T(X)=\overline{\Lambda^{1,0}T(X)}$ and $\mathbb{C}T(X)$ is the complexified tangent bundle. Then there is a real non-vanishing vector field T on X which is pointwise orthogonal to $\Lambda^{1,0}T(X)\oplus\Lambda^{0,1}T(X)$. The Hermitian metric $(\,|\,)$ on $\mathbb{C}T(X)$ induces, by duality, a Hermitian metric on the complexified cotangent bundle $\mathbb{C}T^*(X)$ that we shall also denote by $(\,|\,)$. Let $\Lambda^{0,q}T^*(X)$ be the bundle of $(0,q)$ forms of X. The Hermitian metric $(\,|\,)$ on $\mathbb{C}T^*(X)$ induces a Hermitian metric on $\Lambda^{0,q}T^*(X)$ also denoted by $(\,|\,)$. Let $D\subset X$ be an open set. Let $\Omega^{0,q}(D)$ denote the space of smooth sections of $\Lambda^{0,q}T^*(X)$ over D. Similarly, if E is a vector bundle over D, then we let $\Omega^{0,q}(D,E)$ denote the space of smooth sections of $\Lambda^{0,q}T^*(X)\otimes E$ over D. Let $\Omega^{0,q}_c(D,E)$ be the subspace of $\Omega^{0,q}(D,E)$ whose elements have compact support in D.

If $w\in\Lambda^{0,1}T^*_z(X)$, let $w^{\wedge,*}:\Lambda^{0,q+1}T^*_z(X)\to\Lambda^{0,q}T^*_z(X)$, $q\geqslant 0$, be the adjoint of the left exterior multiplication $w^\wedge:\Lambda^{0,q}T^*_z(X)\to\Lambda^{0,q+1}T^*_z(X)$, $w^\wedge u:=w\wedge u$:

$$(w^\wedge u\mid v)=(w\wedge u\mid v)=(u\mid w^{\wedge,*}v), \tag{1.1}$$

for all $u\in\Lambda^{0,q}T^*_z(X)$, $v\in\Lambda^{0,q+1}T^*_z(X)$. Notice that $w^{\wedge,*}$ depends anti-linearly on w.

Let $\Lambda^{1,0}T^*(X)$ denote the bundle with fiber $\Lambda^{1,0}T^*_z(X):=\overline{\Lambda^{0,1}T^*_z(X)}$ at $z\in X$. Locally we can choose an orthonormal frame $\omega_1(z),\ldots,\omega_{n-1}(z)$ for the bundle $\Lambda^{1,0}T^*_z(X)$, then $\overline{\omega}_1(z),\ldots,\overline{\omega}_{n-1}(z)$ is an orthonormal frame for the bundle $\Lambda^{0,1}T^*_z(X)$. The real $(2n-2)$ form $\omega=i^{n-1}\omega_1\wedge\overline{\omega}_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\omega_{n-1}\wedge\overline{\omega}_{n-1}$ is independent of the choice of the orthonormal frame. Thus ω is globally defined. Locally there is a real 1-form $\omega_0(z)$ of length one which is orthogonal to $\Lambda^{1,0}T^*_z(X)\oplus\Lambda^{0,1}T^*_z(X)$. The form $\omega_0(z)$ is unique up to the choice of sign. Since X is orientable, there is a nowhere vanishing $(2n-1)$ form Q on X. Thus, ω_0 can be specified uniquely by requiring that $\omega\wedge\omega_0=fQ$, where f is a positive function. Therefore ω_0, so chosen, is globally defined. We call ω_0 the uniquely determined global real 1-form. We choose a vector field T so that $\|T\|=1$, $\langle T,\omega_0\rangle=-1$. Therefore T is uniquely determined. We call T the uniquely determined global real vector field. We have the pointwise orthogonal decompositions:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{C}T^*(X)&=\Lambda^{1,0}T^*(X)\oplus\Lambda^{0,1}T^*(X)\oplus\{\lambda\omega_0;\,\lambda\in\mathbb{C}\}\,,\\ \mathbb{C}T(X)&=\Lambda^{1,0}T(X)\oplus\Lambda^{0,1}T(X)\oplus\{\lambda T;\,\lambda\in\mathbb{C}\}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

In the sequel we will denote by $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ both scalar products as well as the duality bracket between vector fields and forms.

Definition 1.1. For $p\in X$, the *Levi form* $\mathcal{L}_p$ is the Hermitian quadratic form on $\Lambda^{1,0}T_p(X)$ defined as follows. For any $U,\ V\in\Lambda^{1,0}T_p(X)$, pick $\mathcal{U},\mathcal{V}\in C^\infty(X;\,\Lambda^{1,0}T(X))$ such that $\mathcal{U}(p)=U$, $\mathcal{V}(p)=V$. Set $\mathcal{L}_p(U,\overline{V})=\frac{1}{2i}\big\langle\big[\mathcal{U}\,,\overline{\mathcal{V}}\,\big](p)\,,\omega_0(p)\big\rangle$, where $\big[\mathcal{U}\,,\overline{\mathcal{V}}\,\big]=\mathcal{U}\,\overline{\mathcal{V}}-\overline{\mathcal{V}}\,\mathcal{U}$ denotes the commutator of $\mathcal{U}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$. Recall that $\mathcal{L}_p$ does not depend of the choices of $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{V}$. Given $q\in\{0,\ldots,n-1\}$, the Levi form is said to satisfy *condition* $Y(q)$ at $p\in X$, if $\mathcal{L}_p$ has $\max\,(q+1,n-q)$ eigenvalues of the same sign or $\min\,(q+1,n-q)$ pairs of eigenvalues with opposite signs.

Assumption 1.2. *Throughout the paper we assume that the Levi form is non-degenerate and condition* $Y(q)$ *holds at each point of* X.

1.2. CR complex line bundles, Semi-classical $\overline{\partial}_b$-Complex and $\Box_b$.

Let

$$\overline{\partial}_b:\Omega^{0,q}(X)\to\Omega^{0,q+1}(X) \tag{1.3}$$

be the tangential Cauchy-Riemann operator. We say that $u\in C^\infty(X)$ is CR if $\overline{\partial}_b u=0$.

Definition 1.3. Let L be a complex line bundle over X. We say that L is a CR complex line bundle over X if its transition functions are CR.

From now on, we let (L,h^L) be a CR Hermitian line bundle over X, where the Hermitian fiber metric on L is denoted by h^L. We will denote by ϕ the local weights of the Hermitian metric. More precisely, if s is a local trivializing section of L on an open subset $D\subset X$, then the pointwise norm of s is

$$|s(x)|^2_{h^L}=e^{-\phi(x)},\quad \phi\in C^\infty(D;\mathbb{R}). \tag{1.4}$$

Let L^k, $k>0$, be the k-th tensor power of the line bundle L. The Hermitian fiber metric on L induces a Hermitian fiber metric on L^k that we shall denote by h^{L^k}. If s is a local trivializing section of L then s^k is a local trivializing section of L^k. For

$f \in \Omega^{0,q}(X, L^k)$, we denote the poinwise norm $|f(x)|^2 := |f(x)|^2_{h^{L^k}}$. We write $\overline{\partial}_{b,k}$ to denote the tangential Cauchy-Riemann operator with values in L^k:

$$\overline{\partial}_{b,k} : \Omega^{0,q}(X, L^k) \to \Omega^{0,q+1}(X, L^k)\,, \quad \overline{\partial}_{b,k}(s^k u) := s^k \overline{\partial}_b u, \tag{1.5}$$

where s is a local trivialization of L on an open subset $D \subset X$ and $u \in \Omega^{0,q}(D)$. We obtain a $\overline{\partial}_{b,k}$-complex $(\Omega^{0,\bullet}(X, L^k), \overline{\partial}_{b,k})$ with cohomology

$$H^\bullet_b(X, L^k) := \ker \overline{\partial}_{b,k} / \operatorname{Im} \overline{\partial}_{b,k}. \tag{1.6}$$

We denote by $dm = dm(x)$ the volume form on X induced by the fixed Hermitian metric $(\,|\,)$ on $\mathbb{C}T(X)$. Then we get natural global L^2 inner products $(\,|\,)_k$, $(\,|\,)$ on $\Omega^{0,q}(X, L^k)$ and $\Omega^{0,q}(X)$ respectively. Let

$$\overline{\partial}^*_{b,k} : \Omega^{0,q+1}(X, L^k) \to \Omega^{0,q}(X, L^k) \tag{1.7}$$

be the formal adjoint of $\overline{\partial}_{b,k}$ with respect to $(\,|\,)_k$. The *Kohn Laplacian* with values in L^k is given by

$$\Box^{(q)}_{b,k} = \overline{\partial}^*_{b,k}\overline{\partial}_{b,k} + \overline{\partial}_{b,k}\overline{\partial}^*_{b,k} : \Omega^{0,q}(X, L^k) \to \Omega^{0,q}(X, L^k). \tag{1.8}$$

We extend $\Box^{(q)}_{b,k}$ to the L^2 space by $\Box^{(q)}_{b,k} : \operatorname{Dom} \Box^{(q)}_{b,k} \subset L^2_{(0,q)}(X, L^k) \to L^2_{(0,q)}(X, L^k)$, where $\operatorname{Dom} \Box^{(q)}_{b,k} := \{u \in L^2_{(0,q)}(X, L^k); \Box^{(q)}_{b,k} u \in L^2_{(0,q)}(X, L^k)\}$. Consider the space of harmonic forms

$$\mathscr{H}^q_b(X, L^k) := \operatorname{Ker} \Box^{(q)}_{b,k}\,. \tag{1.9}$$

By [24, 7.6-7.8], [20, 5.4.11-12], [15, Props. 8.4.8-9], condition $Y(q)$ implies that $\Box^{(q)}_{b,k}$ is hypoelliptic, has compact resolvent and the strong Hodge decomposition holds. Hence

$$\dim \mathscr{H}^q_b(X, L^k) < \infty\,, \quad \mathscr{H}^q_b(X, L^k) \subset \Omega^{0,q}(X, L^k)\,, \quad \mathscr{H}^q_b(X, L^k) \cong H^q_b(X, L^k)\,. \tag{1.10}$$

Let $f_j(x) \in \Omega^{0,q}(X, L^k)$, $j = 1, \ldots, N$, be an orthonormal frame for the space $\mathscr{H}^q_b(X, L^k)$. The *Szegö kernel function* is defined by

$$\Pi^{(q)}_k(x) = \sum_{j=1}^N |f_j(x)|^2_{h^{L^k}} =: \sum_{j=1}^N |f_j(x)|^2\,. \tag{1.11}$$

It is easy to see that $\Pi^{(q)}_k(x)$ is independent of the choice of orthonormal frame and

$$\dim \mathscr{H}^q_b(X, L^k) = \int_X \Pi^{(q)}_k(x) dm(x). \tag{1.12}$$

1.3. **The main results.** We will express the bound of the Szegö kernel with the help of the following Hermitian form.

Definition 1.4. Let s be a local trivializing section of L and ϕ the corresponding local weight as in (1.4). The Hermitian quadratic form M^ϕ_p on $\Lambda^{1,0}T_p(X)$, $p \in D$, defined by

$$M^\phi_p(U, \overline{V}) = \frac{1}{2}\Big\langle U \wedge \overline{V}, d\big(\overline{\partial}_b\phi - \partial_b\phi\big)(p)\Big\rangle, \quad U, V \in \Lambda^{1,0}T_p(X), \tag{1.13}$$

where d is the usual exterior derivative and $\overline{\partial_b\phi} = \overline{\partial}_b\overline{\phi}$.

In Proposition 6.1 we show that in the embedded case M^ϕ_p is the restriction of the Chern curvature of the holomorphic extension of L. But in the abstract case the definition of M^ϕ_p depends on the choice of local trivializations. However, set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}_{\phi(p),\,q} = \big\{s \in \mathbb{R};\ & M^\phi_p + s\mathcal{L}_p \text{ has exactly } q \text{ negative eigenvalues} \\ & \text{and } n-1-q \text{ positive eigenvalues}\big\}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{1.14}$$

where the eigenvalues of the Hermitian quadratic form $M^{\phi}_{p}+s\mathcal{L}_p$, $s\in\mathbb{R}$, are calculated with respect to $(\,|\,)$. It turns out (see Proposition 4.2) that the function

$$X\to\mathbb{R}\,,\quad x\mapsto\int_{\mathbb{R}_{\phi(x),q}}\left|\det(M^{\phi}_{x}+s\mathcal{L}_x)\right|ds \tag{1.15}$$

does not depend on the choice of ϕ, where $\det(M^{\phi}_{x}+s\mathcal{L}_x)$ is the product of all the eigenvalues of $M^{\phi}_{x}+s\mathcal{L}_x$. Thus, the function (1.15) is well-defined. Since M^{ϕ}_{x} and $\mathcal{L}_x$ are continuous functions of $x\in X$, we conclude that the function (1.15) is continuous.

Now, the main result of this work is the following

Theorem 1.5. *There is a constant $C_0>0$ independent of k, such that*

$$k^{-n}\Pi^{(q)}_{k}(x)\leqslant C_0\,,\quad\text{for all } x\in X. \tag{1.16}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty}k^{-n}\Pi^{(q)}_{k}(x)\leqslant(2\pi)^{-n}\int_{\mathbb{R}_{\phi(x),q}}\left|\det(M^{\phi}_{x}+s\mathcal{L}_x)\right|ds\,,\quad\text{for all } x\in X. \tag{1.17}$$

From (1.12), Theorem 1.5 and Fatou's lemma, we get weak Morse inequalities on CR manifolds.

Theorem 1.6. *We have for $k\to\infty$*

$$\dim H^{q}_{b}(X,L^k)\leqslant\frac{k^n}{(2\pi)^n}\int_X\int_{\mathbb{R}_{\phi(x),q}}\left|\det(M^{\phi}_{x}+s\mathcal{L}_x)\right|ds\,dm(x)+o(k^n)\,. \tag{1.18}$$

From the classical work of Kohn [24, Th. 7.6], [20, Th. 5.4.11–12], [15, Cor. 8.4.7–8], we know that if $Y(q)$ holds, then $\Box^{(q)}_{b,k}$ has a discrete spectrum, each eigenvalues occurs with finite multiplicity and all eigenforms are smooth. For $\lambda\in\mathbb{R}$, let $\mathscr{H}^{q}_{b,\leqslant\lambda}(X,L^k)$ denote the spectral space spanned by the eigenforms of $\Box^{(q)}_{b,k}$ whose eigenvalues are bounded by λ. We denote by $\Pi^{(q)}_{k,\leqslant\lambda}$ the restriction to the diagonal of the integral kernel of the orthogonal projector on $\mathscr{H}^{q}_{b,\leqslant\lambda}(X,L^k)$ and call it the Szegö kernel function of the space $\mathscr{H}^{q}_{b,\leqslant\lambda}(X,L^k)$ Then $\Pi^{(q)}_{k,\leqslant\lambda}(x)=\sum^{M}_{j=1}\left|g_j(x)\right|^2$, where $g_j(x)\in\Omega^{0,q}(X,L^k)$, $j=1,\ldots,M$, is any orthonormal frame for the space $\mathscr{H}^{q}_{b,\leqslant\lambda}(X,L^k)$. The following is one of the main results.

Theorem 1.7. *For any sequence $\nu_k>0$ with $\nu_k\to0$, as $k\to\infty$, there is a constant C'_0 independent of k, such that*

$$k^{-n}\Pi^{(q)}_{k,\leqslant k\nu_k}(x)\leqslant C'_0 \tag{1.19}$$

for all $x\in X$. Moreover, There is a sequence $\mu_k>0$, $\mu_k\to0$, as $k\to\infty$, such that for any sequence $\nu_k>0$ with $\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{\mu_k}{\nu_k}=0$, we have

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}k^{-n}\Pi^{(q)}_{k,\leqslant k\nu_k}(x)=(2\pi)^{-n}\int_{\mathbb{R}_{\phi(x),q}}\left|\det(M^{\phi}_{x}+s\mathcal{L}_x)\right|ds, \tag{1.20}$$

for all $x\in X$.

By integrating (1.20) we obtain the following semi-classical Weyl law:

Theorem 1.8. *There is a sequence $\mu_k>0$, $\mu_k\to0$, as $k\to\infty$, such that for any sequence $\nu_k>0$ with $\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{\mu_k}{\nu_k}=0$, we have*

$$\dim\mathscr{H}^{q}_{b,\leqslant k\nu_k}(X,L^k)=\frac{k^n}{(2\pi)^n}\int_X\int_{\mathbb{R}_{\phi(x),q}}\left|\det(M^{\phi}_{x}+s\mathcal{L}_x)\right|ds\,dm(x)+o(k^n).$$

From Theorem 1.8 and the linear algebra argument from Demailly [16] and [27], we obtain strong Morse inequalities on CR manifolds (see §6):

Theorem 1.9. *If $Y(j)$ holds, for all $j = 0, 1, \dots, q$, then as $k \to \infty$*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{q}(-1)^{q-j}\dim H_b^j(X, L^k)$$
$$\leqslant \frac{k^n}{(2\pi)^n}\sum_{j=0}^{q}(-1)^{q-j}\int_X\int_{\mathbb{R}_{\phi(x),j}}\left|\det(M_x^\phi + s\mathcal{L}_x)\right| ds\, dm(x) + o(k^n).$$

If $Y(j)$ holds, for all $j = q, q+1, \dots, n-1$, then as $k \to \infty$

$$\sum_{j=q}^{n-1}(-1)^{q-j}\dim H_b^j(X, L^k)$$
$$\leqslant \frac{k^n}{(2\pi)^n}\sum_{j=q}^{n-1}(-1)^{q-j}\int\limits_X\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}_{\phi(x),j}}\left|\det(M_x^\phi + s\mathcal{L}_x)\right| ds\, dm(x) + o(k^n).$$

In section 6.1, we will state our main results in the embedded case, that is, when X is a real hypersurface of a complex manifold M and the bundle L is the restriction of a holomorphic line bundle over M. In this case the form M_p^ϕ is the restriction to $\Lambda^{1,0}T_p(X)$ of the curvature form R^L. To wit, we deduce from the weak Morse inequalities (Theorem 1.5):

Corollary 1.10. *Let M be a complex manifold of dimension n and let $D = \{p \in M : r(p) < 0\}$ be a strongly pseudoconvex compact domain with smooth definition function $r : M \to \mathbb{R}$ which is strictly plurisubharmonic in a neighbourhood of $X = \partial D$. Let (L, h^L) be a Hermitian holomorphic line bundle whose curvature is proportional to the Levi form of D on X, i.e. there exists a smooth function $\lambda : X \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $R^L = \lambda\mathcal{L}_r$ on the holomorphic tangent bundle of X. Then* $\dim H_b^q(X, L^k) = o(k^n)$ *as $k \to \infty$ for all* $1 \leqslant q \leqslant n-2$.

Example 1.11. Let N be a compact complex manifold of dimension n and (E, h^E) be a positive line bundle on N. Let $D = \{v \in E^*; |v|_{h^{E^*}} < 1\}$ be the Grauert tube, set $X = \partial D$ and let $\pi : X \to N$ be the canonical projection. Then we can apply Corollary 1.10 and we obtain that the $\overline{\partial}_b$-cohomology of the CR line bundle $L := \pi^*E$ satisfies $\dim H_b^q(X, L^k) = o(k^{n+1})$ as $k \to \infty$ for all $1 \leqslant q \leqslant n-1$.

To exemplify the use of the strong Morse inequalities on CR manifolds, we formulate a condition to guarantee that a CR line bundle is big in the embedded cases.

Theorem 1.12. *Let M be a relatively compact open subset with C^∞ boundary X of a complex manifold M' of dimension n. Furthermore, let L be a Hermitian holomorphic line bundle over M' with positive curvature R^L. We assume that the Levi form of X is non-degenerate and has at least two negative and two positive eigenvalues. Let $\lambda_1(x), \dots, \lambda_{n-1}(x)$ be the eigenvalues of the Levi form with respect to R^L. Assume that $\lambda_j < 0$, $j = 1, \dots, n_-$, $\lambda_j > 0$, $j = n_- + 1, \dots, n-1$, $|\lambda_1| \leqslant |\lambda_2| \leqslant \cdots \leqslant |\lambda_{n_-}|$, $|\lambda_{n_-+1}| \leqslant \cdots \leqslant |\lambda_{n-1}|$. If $\lambda_1(x) = \lambda_2(x)$, $\lambda_{n_-+1}(x) = \lambda_{n_-+2}(x)$, at each point of X, then there is a positive constant c, such that* $\dim H_b^0(X, L^k) \geqslant ck^n$.

In §6, we will give examples which satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 1.12. Keeping in mind the notion of q-pseudoconvexity and pseudoconcavity of Andreotti-Grauert [1] we introduce the following.

Definition 1.13. A complex manifold M with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M = n$ is called a $(n-2)$-convex-concave strip if there exists a smooth proper map $\rho : M \to \mathbb{R}$ whose Levi form $\partial\overline{\partial}\rho$ has at least three negative and three positive eigenvalues on M. The function ρ is called an exhaustion function.

Theorem 1.14. *Let M be a $(n-2)$-convex–concave strip with exhaustion function ρ. Let $a\in\mathbb{R}$ be a regular value of ρ and set $X:=\{\rho=a\}$. Assume that there exists a holomorphic line bundle $L\to M$ whose curvature form R^L is positive on X and the Levi form $\partial\overline{\partial}\rho|_X$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 1.12. Then the line bundle L is big. Therefore, the transcendence degree of the meromorphic function field $\mathcal{K}_M$ equals $n=\dim_{\mathbb{C}}M$ and the Kodaira map $\Phi_k: M\cdots\longrightarrow\mathbb{P}(H^0(M,L^k)^*)$ is an immersion outside a proper analytic set.*

1.4. **Sketch of the proof of Theorem 1.5.** To simplify the exposition we consider only the case $q=0$, i.e. we show how to pointwise estimate the function $\limsup\limits_{k\to\infty}\Pi_k^{(0)}$. It is easy to see that for all $x\in X$ we have

$$\Pi_k^{(0)}(x)=S_k^{(0)}(x):=\sup_{\alpha\in H_b^0(X,L^k),\|\alpha\|=1}\left|\alpha(x)\right|^2\,,$$

where $S_k^{(0)}(x)$ is called the extremal function. For a given point $p\in X$, by definition, there is a sequence $u_k\in H_b^0(X,L^k)$, $\|u_k\|=1$, such that $\limsup_{k\to\infty}k^{-n}S_k^{(0)}(p)=\lim_{k\to\infty}k^{-n}\left|u_k(p)\right|^2$. Near p, take local coordinates $(x,\theta)=(z,\theta)=(x_1,\ldots,x_{2n-2},\theta)$, $z_j=x_{2j-1}+ix_{2j}$, $j=1,\ldots,n-1$, $(x(p),\theta(p))=0$, such that $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}=\frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2j-1}}-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2j}})$, $j=1,\ldots,n-1$, is an orthonormal basis for $\Lambda^{1,0}T_p(X)$ and the Levi form and local weight are given by $\mathcal{L}_p=\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\lambda_j dz_j\otimes d\overline{z}_j$ and

$$\phi=\beta\theta+\sum_{j,t=1}^{n-1}\mu_{j,t}\overline{z}_jz_t+R(z)+O(|z|\,|\theta|)+O(|\theta|^2)+O(|(z,\theta)|^3),$$

where $R(z)=O(|z|^2)$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{z}_j}R=0$, $j=1,\ldots,n-1$. Let $F_k(z,\theta):=(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}},\frac{\theta}{k})$ be the scaling map. For $r>0$, let $D_r=\{(z,\theta)=(x,\theta);\,|x_j|<r,|\theta|<r,j=1,\ldots,2n-2\}$. Now, we consider the restriction of u_k to the domain $F_k(D_{\log k})$. The function $\alpha_k:=k^{-\frac{n}{2}}F_k^*(e^{-kR}u_k)\in C^\infty(D_{\log k})$, satisfies $\limsup_{k\to\infty}k^{-n}S^{(0)}(p)=\lim_{k\to\infty}\left|\alpha_k(0)\right|^2$, where $F_k^*f\in C^\infty(D_{\log k})$ denotes the scaled function $f\big(\frac{x}{\sqrt{k}},\frac{\theta}{k}\big)$, $f\in C^\infty(F_k(D_{\log k}))$. Moreover, α_k is harmonic with respect to the scaled Kohn Laplacian $\Box^{(0)}_{s,(k)}$ (cf. (2.21)). The point is that $\Box^{(0)}_{s,(k)}$ converges in some sense to the model Laplacian $\Box^{(0)}_{b,H_n}$ on $H_n:=\mathbb{C}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{R}$ (cf. (2.33)). In fact, $\Box^{(0)}_{b,H_n}$ is the Kohn Laplacian defined with respect to the CR structure $U_{j,H_n}:=\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i\lambda_j\overline{z}_j\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}$, $j=1,\ldots,n-1$, and the weight $e^{-\psi_0}$, $\psi_0=\beta\theta+\sum_{j,t=1}^{n-1}\mu_{j,t}\overline{z}_jz_t$. Since $Y(q)$ holds, $\Box^{(0)}_{s,(k)}$ is hypoelliptic with loss of one derivative. Thus, the standard techniques for partial differential operators (Rellich's theorem and Sobolev embedding theorem) yield a subsequence α_{k_j} converging uniformly with all the derivatives on any compact subset of H_n to a smooth function α, which is harmonic with respect to $\Box^{(0)}_{b,H_n}$. This implies that

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty}k^{-n}S_k^{(0)}(p)=\left|\alpha(0)\right|^2\leqslant S^{(0)}_{H_n}(0):=\sup_{\Box^{(0)}_{b,H_n}f=0,\|f\|_{\psi_0}=1}\left|f(0)\right|^2\,.$$

Computing the extremal function in the model case explicitly (see §4) finishes the proof of (1.17).

This paper is organized as follows. In §2 we first introduce the extremal function and we relate it to the Szegö kernel function. Then we introduce the scaled Kohn Laplacian $\Box^{(q)}_{s,(k)}$ and prove the rough upper-bound for the Szegö kernel function (1.16) (cf. Theorem 2.7). Moreover, by comparing the scaled operator $\Box^{(q)}_{s,(k)}$ to the Kohn Laplacian $\Box^{(q)}_{b,H_n}$ on the Heisenberg group we estimate in Theorem 2.9 the Szegö kernel function on X in terms of the extremal function on the Heisenberg group. The latter is computed explicitely in §3. In §4 we use this information in order to prove the local Morse inequalities (1.17) and by integration the weak Morse inequalities (1.18). In §5 we analyse the spectral function of $\Box^{(q)}_{b,(k)}$ and deduce the semi-classical Weyl law, thus proving Theorems 1.7–1.9. In §6 we specialize the previous results to the case of an embedded CR manifold and prove Theorems 1.12 and 1.14. Moreover, we exemplify our results in two concrete examples, one of a Grauert tube over the torus and the other of a quotient of the Heisenberg group.

2. THE ESTIMATES OF THE SZEGÖ KERNEL FUNCTION $\Pi^{(q)}_k$

2.1. The Szegö kernel function $\Pi^{(q)}_k(x)$ and the extremal function $S^{(q)}_{k,J}(x)$.

We first introduce some notations. For $p\in X$, we can choose an orthonormal frame $e_1(y),\dots,e_{n-1}(y)$ for $\Lambda^{0,1}T^*_y(X)$ varying smoothly with y in a neighborhood U of p. For a multiindex $J=(j_1,\dots,j_q)\in\{1,\dots,n-1\}^q$ we write $|J|=q$. We say that J is strictly increasing if $1\leqslant j_1<j_2<\cdots<j_q\leqslant n-1$. Then $(e^J(y):=e_{j_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge e_{j_q})_{1\leqslant j_1<j_2<\cdots<j_q\leqslant n-1}$ is an orthonormal frame for $\Lambda^{0,q}T^*_y(X)$. For $f\in\Omega^{0,q}(X,L^k)$, we may write $f|_U=\sum'_{|J|=q}f_Je^J$, with $f_J=\langle f,e^J\rangle\in C^\infty(U;\,L^k)$, where $\sum'$ means that the summation is performed only over strictly increasing multiindices. We call f_J the component of f along e^J. It will be clear from the context what frame is being used. The *extremal function* $S^{(q)}_{k,J}$ along the direction e^J is defined by

$$S^{(q)}_{k,J}(y)=\sup_{\alpha\in\mathscr{H}^q_b(X,L^k),\,\|\alpha\|=1}\left|\alpha_J(y)\right|^2\,. \tag{2.1}$$

Lemma 2.1. *For a given local orthonormal frame $\{e^J(y);|J|=q,\,J$ strictly increasing$\}$ of $\Lambda^{0,q}T^*(X)|_U$, $U\subset X$ open, we have that $\Pi^{(q)}_k(y)=\sum'_{|J|=q}S^{(q)}_{k,J}(y)$.*

Proof. Let $(f_j)_{j=1,\dots,N}$ be an orthonormal frame for the space $\mathscr{H}^q_b(X,L^k)$. On U we write $\Pi^{(q)}_k(y)=\sum'_{|J|=q}\Pi^{(q)}_{k,J}(y)$, where $\Pi^{(q)}_{k,J}(y):=\sum_j|f_{j,J}(y)|^2$. It is easy to see that $\Pi^{(q)}_{k,J}(y)$ is independent of the choice of the orthonormal frame (f_j). Take $\alpha\in\mathscr{H}^q_b(X,L^k)$ of unit norm. Since α is contained in an orthonormal base, obviously $|\alpha_J(y)|^2\leqslant\Pi^{(q)}_{k,J}(y)$. Thus,

$$S^{(q)}_{k,J}(y)\leqslant\Pi^{(q)}_{k,J}(y)\,,\quad\text{for all strictly increasing } J,\ |J|=q. \tag{2.2}$$

Fix a point $p\in U$ and a strictly incresing J, $|J|=q$. For simplicity, we may assume that $\phi(p)=0$. Put

$$u(y)=\Big(\textstyle\sum_{j=1}^N|f_{j,J}(p)|^2\Big)^{-1/2}\cdot\sum_{j=1}^N\overline{f_{j,J}(p)}f_j(y)\,.$$

We can check that $u\in H^q_b(X,L^k)$ and $\|u\|=1$. Hence, $|u_J(p)|^2\leqslant S^{(q)}_{k,J}(p)$, therefore $\Pi^{(q)}_{k,J_0}(p)=\sum_{j=1}^N|f_{j,J}(p)|^2=|u_J(p)|^2\leqslant S^{(q)}_{k,J}(p)$. By (2.2), $\Pi^{(q)}_{k,J}=S^{(q)}_{k,J}$ for all strictly increasing J with $|J|=q$ and the lemma follows. □

2.2. The scaling technique.

For a given point $p\in X$, let $U_1(y),\dots,U_{n-1}(y)$ be an orthonormal frame of $\Lambda^{1,0}T_y(X)$ varying smoothly with y in a neighborhood of p, for which the Levi form is diagonalized at p. Furthermore, let s be a local trivializing section of L on an open neighborhood of p and $|s|^2=e^{-\phi}$. We take local coordinates $(x,\theta)=(z,\theta)=(x_1,\dots,x_{2n-2},\theta)$, $z_j=x_{2j-1}+ix_{2j}$, $j=1,\dots,n-1$, defined on an open set D of p such that $\omega_0(p)=\sqrt{2}d\theta$, $(x(p),\theta(p))=0$, $(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(p)\mid\frac{\partial}{\partial x_t}(p))=2\delta_{j,t}$, $(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(p)\mid\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}(p))=0$, $(\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}(p)\mid\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}(p))=2$, $j,t=1,\dots,2n-1$, and

$$U_j=\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i\lambda_j\overline{z}_j\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}c_j\theta\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}+O(|(z,\theta)|^2),\quad j=1,\dots,n-1, \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi = &\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(\alpha_j z_j + \overline{\alpha}_j\overline{z}_j) + \beta\theta + \sum_{j,t=1}^{n-1}(a_{j,t}z_jz_t + \overline{a}_{j,t}\overline{z}_j\overline{z}_t) + \sum_{j,t=1}^{n-1}\mu_{j,t}\overline{z}_jz_t \\
&+ O(|z|\,|\theta|) + O(|\theta|^2) + O(|(z,\theta)|^3),
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.4}
$$

where $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $c_j, \alpha_j, a_{j,t}, \mu_{j,t} \in \mathbb{C}$, $\delta_{j,t} = 1$ if $j = t$, $\delta_{j,t} = 0$ if $j \neq t$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2j-1}} - i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2j}})$, for $j, t = 1, \ldots, n-1$ and λ_j, $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$ are the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{L}_p$. This is always possible, see [4, p. 157–160]. In this section, we work with this local coordinates and we identify D with some open set in $\mathbb{R}^{2n-1}$. Put

$$
R(z,\theta) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\alpha_j z_j + \sum_{j,t=1}^{n-1} a_{j,t}z_jz_t\,, \tag{2.5}
$$

(2.6)

$$
\phi_0 = \phi - R(z,\theta) - \overline{R(z,\theta)} = \beta\theta + \sum_{j,t=1}^{n-1}\mu_{j,t}\overline{z}_jz_t + O(|z|\,|\theta|) + O(|\theta|^2) + O(|(z,\theta)|^3)\,.
$$

Let $(\ |\ )_{k\phi}$ and $(\ |\ )_{k\phi_0}$ be the inner products on the space $\Omega^{0,q}_c(D)$ defined as follows: $(f \mid g)_{k\phi} = \int_D (f \mid g)e^{-k\phi}dm$, $(f \mid g)_{k\phi_0} = \int_D (f \mid g)e^{-k\phi_0}dm$, where $f, g \in \Omega^{0,q}_c(D)$. Put

$$
\begin{aligned}
L^2_{(0,q)}(D, k\phi) &= \Big\{u \in \mathscr{D}'(D;\, \Lambda^{0,q}T^*(X));\ \int_D |u|^2 e^{-k\phi}dm < \infty\Big\}\,, \\
L^2_{(0,q)}(D, k\phi_0) &= \Big\{u \in \mathscr{D}'(D;\, \Lambda^{0,q}T^*(X));\ \int_D |u|^2 e^{-k\phi_0}dm < \infty\Big\}\,,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathscr{D}'(D, \Lambda^{0,q}T^*(X))$ denotes the space of distribution sections of D over $\Lambda^{0,q}T^*(X)$. We extend the inner products $(\ |\ )_{k\phi}$ and $(\ |\ )_{k\phi_0}$ to the spaces $L^2_{(0,q)}(D, k\phi)$ and $L^2_{(0,q)}(D, k\phi_0)$ respectively. We have the unitary identification

$$
\begin{cases}
L^2_{(0,q)}(D, k\phi_0) \leftrightarrow L^2_{(0,q)}(D, k\phi) \\
\qquad\qquad u \to \widetilde{u} = e^{kR}u, \\
\quad u = e^{-kR}\widetilde{u} \leftarrow \widetilde{u}.
\end{cases}
\tag{2.7}
$$

Let $\overline{\partial}^{*,k\phi}_b : \Omega^{0,q+1}(D) \to \Omega^{0,q}(D)$ be the formal adjoint of $\overline{\partial}_b$ with respect to $(\ |\ )_{k\phi}$. Put $\Box^{(q)}_{b,k\phi} = \overline{\partial}_b\overline{\partial}^{*,k\phi}_b + \overline{\partial}^{*,k\phi}_b\overline{\partial}_b : \Omega^{0,q}(D) \to \Omega^{0,q}(D)$. Let $u \in \Omega^{0,q}(D, L^k)$. On D, we write $u = s^k\hat{u}$, $\hat{u} \in \Omega^{0,q}(D)$. We have $\Box^{(q)}_{b,k}u = s^k\Box^{(q)}_{b,k\phi}\hat{u}$. In this section, we identify u with $\hat{u}$ and $\Box^{(q)}_{b,k}$ with $\Box^{(q)}_{b,k\phi}$. Note that $|u(0)|^2 = |\hat{u}(0)|^2 e^{-k\phi(0)} = |\hat{u}(0)|^2$.

In the sequel we denote by $\alpha\wedge$ the operator of left exterior multiplication with a form α. The adjoint of this operator is denoted by $\alpha^{\wedge,*}$.

If $u \in \Omega^{0,q}(D) \cap L^2_{(0,q)}(D, k\phi_0)$, using (2.7), we have $\overline{\partial}_b\widetilde{u} = \widetilde{\overline{\partial}_s u} = e^{kR}\overline{\partial}_s u$, where

$$
\overline{\partial}_s = \overline{\partial}_b + k(\overline{\partial}_bR)\wedge\ . \tag{2.8}
$$

Let $(e_j(z,\theta))_{j=1,\ldots,n-1}$ denote the basis of $\Lambda^{0,1}T^*_{(z,\theta)}(X)$, dual to $(\overline{U}_j(z,\theta))_{j=1,\ldots,n-1}$. Then $\overline{\partial}_b = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\big(e_j \wedge \overline{U}_j + \overline{\partial}_be_j \wedge e_j^{\wedge,*}\big)$. Note that $e_j^{\wedge,*} = i_{\overline{U}_j}$, the interior product with $\overline{U}_j$. Thus,

$$
\overline{\partial}_s = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} e_j\wedge\Big(\overline{U}_j + k(\overline{U}_jR)\Big) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(\overline{\partial}_be_j)\wedge e_j^{\wedge,*} \tag{2.9}
$$

and correspondingly

$$\overline{\partial}_s^* = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} e_j^{\wedge,*}\Big(\overline{U}_j^{*,k\phi_0} + k(U_j\overline{R})\Big) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} e_j \wedge (\overline{\partial}_b e_j)^{\wedge,*}, \tag{2.10}$$

where $\overline{\partial}_b^{*,k\phi}\widetilde{u} = e^{kR}\overline{\partial}_s^* u$ and $\overline{U}_j^{*,k\phi_0}$ is the formal adjoint of $\overline{U}_j$ with respect to $(\ |\ )_{k\phi_0}$, $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$. We can check that

$$\overline{U}_j^{*,k\phi_0} = -U_j + k(U_j\phi_0) + s_j(z,\theta), \tag{2.11}$$

where $s_j \in C^\infty(D)$, s_j is independent of k, $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$. Put

$$\Box_s^{(q)} = \overline{\partial}_s\overline{\partial}_s^* + \overline{\partial}_s^*\overline{\partial}_s : \Omega^{0,q}(D) \to \Omega^{0,q}(D). \tag{2.12}$$

We have

$$\widetilde{\Box_s^{(q)} u} = e^{kR}\Box_s^{(q)} u = \Box_{b,k\phi}^{(q)}\widetilde{u}. \tag{2.13}$$

Proposition 2.2 ([23, Prop. 2.9]). *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}\Box_s^{(q)} &= \overline{\partial}_s\overline{\partial}_s^* + \overline{\partial}_s^*\overline{\partial}_s\\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\big(\overline{U}_j^{*,k\phi_0} + k(U_j\overline{R})\big)\big(\overline{U}_j + k(\overline{U}_jR)\big)\\ &\quad+ \sum_{j,t=1}^{n-1} e_j \wedge e_t^{\wedge,*}\big[\overline{U}_j + k(\overline{U}_jR)\ ,\overline{U}_t^{*,k\phi_0} + k(U_t\overline{R})\big]\\ &\quad\ + \epsilon(\overline{U} + k(\overline{U}R)) + \epsilon(\overline{U}^{*,k\phi_0} + k(U\overline{R})) + f(z,\theta),\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

where $\epsilon(\overline{U}+k(\overline{U}R))$ denotes remainder terms of the form $\sum a_j(z,\theta)\big(\overline{U}_j+k(\overline{U}_jR)\big)$ with a_j smooth, matrix-valued and independent of k, for all j, and similarly for $\epsilon(\overline{U}^{*,k\phi_0} + k(\overline{U}R))$ and $f(z,\theta) \in C^\infty$ independent of k.

We recall some notations we used before for the convenience of the reader. For $r > 0$, let $D_r = \{(z,\theta) = (x,\theta) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n-1};\ |x_j| < r,\ |\theta| < r,\ j = 1, \ldots, 2n-2\}$. Let F_k be the scaling map: $F_k(z,\theta) = (\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}}, \frac{\theta}{k})$. From now on, we assume that k is large enough so that $F_k(D_{\log k}) \subset D$. On $D_{\log k}$, the scaled bundle $F_k^*\Lambda^{0,q}T^*(X)$ is the bundle with fiber $F_k^*\Lambda^{0,q}T^*_{(z_0,\theta_0)}(X) := \Big\{\sum'_{|J|=q} a_J e^J(\frac{z_0}{\sqrt{k}}, \frac{\theta_0}{k});\ a_J \in \mathbb{C}, |J| = q\Big\}$ at $(z_0,\theta_0) \in D_{\log k}$. We take the Hermitian metric $(\ |\ )_{F_k^*}$ on $F_k^*\Lambda^{0,q}T^*(X)$ so that at each point $(z_0,\theta_0) \in D_{\log k}$,

$$\Big\{e^J\big(\tfrac{z}{\sqrt{k}}\ ,\tfrac{\theta}{k}\big)\ ;|J| = q,\ J \text{ strictly increasing}\Big\},$$

is an orthonormal basis for $F_k^*\Lambda^{0,q}T^*_{(z_0,\theta_0)}(X)$. For $r > 0$, let $F_k^*\Omega^{0,q}(D_r)$ denote the space of smooth sections of $F_k^*\Lambda^{0,q}T^*(X)$ over D_r. Let $F_k^*\Omega_c^{0,q}(D_r)$ be the subspace of $F_k^*\Omega^{0,q}(D_r)$ whose elements have compact support in D_r. Given $f \in \Omega^{0,q}(F_k(D_{\log k}))$ we write $f = \sum'_{|J|=q} f_J e^J$. We define the scaled form $F_k^* f \in F_k^*\Omega^{0,q}(D_{\log k})$ by:

$$F_k^* f = \sum_{|J|=q}{}' f_J\Big(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}}\ ,\frac{\theta}{k}\Big)e^J\Big(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}}\ ,\frac{\theta}{k}\Big)\,.$$

Let P be a partial differential operator of order one on $F_k(D_{\log k})$ with C^∞ coefficients. We write $P = a(z,\theta)\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^{2n-2} a_j(z,\theta)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$, $a, a_j \in C^\infty(F_k(D_{\log k}))$, $j = 1, \ldots, 2n-2$. The partial diffferential operator $P_{(k)}$ on $D_{\log k}$ is given by

$$P_{(k)} = \sqrt{k}F_k^* a\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^{2n-2} F_k^* a_j\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} = \sqrt{k}a\Big(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}}, \frac{\theta}{k}\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^{2n-2} a_j\Big(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}}, \frac{\theta}{k}\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}. \tag{2.15}$$

Let $f\in C^\infty(F_k(D_{\log k}))$. We can check that

$$P_{(k)}(F_k^*f)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}F_k^*(Pf). \tag{2.16}$$

The scaled differential operator $\overline{\partial}_{s,(k)}:F_k^*\Omega^{0,q}(D_{\log k})\to F_k^*\Omega^{0,q+1}(D_{\log k})$ is given by (compare $\overline{\partial}_s$, see (2.9))

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\partial}_{s,(k)}=&\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}e_j\Big(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}},\frac{\theta}{k}\Big)\wedge\Big(\overline{U}_{j(k)}+\sqrt{k}F_k^*(\overline{U}_jR)\Big)\\&+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}(\overline{\partial}_be_j)\Big(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}},\frac{\theta}{k}\Big)\wedge e_j\Big(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}},\frac{\theta}{k}\Big)^{\wedge,*}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.17}$$

From (2.9) and (2.16), we can check that if $f\in\Omega^{0,q}(F_k(D_{\log k}))$, then

$$\overline{\partial}_{s,(k)}F_k^*f=\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}F_k^*(\overline{\partial}_sf). \tag{2.18}$$

Let $(\ |\ )_{kF_k^*\phi_0}$ be the inner product on the space $F_k^*\Omega_c^{0,q}(D_{\log k})$ defined as follows: $(f\ |\ g)_{kF_k^*\phi_0}=\int_{D_{\log k}}(f\ |\ g)_{F_k^*}e^{-kF_k^*\phi_0}(F_k^*m)(z,\theta)dv(z)dv(\theta)$, where $mdv(z)dv(\theta)$ is the volume form, $dv(z)=2^{n-1}dx_1\cdots dx_{2n-2}$, $dv(\theta)=\sqrt{2}d\theta$. Note that $m(0,0)=1$. Let $\overline{\partial}^*_{s,(k)}:F_k^*\Omega^{0,q+1}(D_{\log k})\to F_k^*\Omega^{0,q}(D_{\log k})$ be the formal adjoint of $\overline{\partial}_{s,(k)}$ with respect to $(\ |\ )_{kF_k^*\phi_0}$. Then, we can check that (compare $\overline{\partial}^*_s$, see (2.10) and (2.11))

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\partial}^*_{s,(k)}=&\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}e_j\Big(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}},\frac{\theta}{k}\Big)^{\wedge,*}\Big(-U_{j(k)}+\sqrt{k}F_k^*(U_j\overline{R})+\sqrt{k}F_k^*(U_j\phi_0)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}F_k^*s_j\Big)\\&+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}e_j\Big(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}},\frac{\theta}{k}\Big)\wedge(\overline{\partial}_be_j)\Big(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}},\frac{\theta}{k}\Big)^{\wedge,*},\end{aligned}\tag{2.19}$$

where $s_j\in C^\infty(D_{\log k})$, $j=1,\ldots,n-1$, are independent of k. We also have

$$\overline{\partial}^*_{s,(k)}F_k^*f=\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}F_k^*(\overline{\partial}^*_sf),\quad f\in\Omega^{0,q+1}(F_k(D_{\log k}))\,. \tag{2.20}$$

We define now the *scaled Kohn Laplacian*:

$$\Box^{(q)}_{s,(k)}:=\overline{\partial}^*_{s,(k)}\overline{\partial}_{s,(k)}+\overline{\partial}_{s,(k)}\overline{\partial}^*_{(s,(k)}:F_k^*\Omega^{0,q}(D_{\log k})\to F_k^*\Omega^{0,q}(D_{\log k}). \tag{2.21}$$

From (2.18) and (2.20), we see that if $f\in\Omega^{0,q}(F_k(D_{\log k}))$, then

$$(\Box^{(q)}_{s,(k)})F_k^*f=\frac{1}{k}F_k^*(\Box^{(q)}_sf). \tag{2.22}$$

From (2.3) and (2.5), we can check that

$$\overline{U}_{j(k)}+\sqrt{k}F_k^*(\overline{U}_jR)=\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{z}_j}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i\lambda_jz_j\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}+\epsilon_kZ_{j,k}\,,\quad j=1,\ldots,n-1, \tag{2.23}$$

on $D_{\log k}$, where ϵ_k is a sequence tending to zero with $k\to\infty$ and $Z_{j,k}$ is a first order differential operator and all the derivatives of the coefficients of $Z_{j,k}$ are uniformly bounded in k on $D_{\log k}$, $j=1,\ldots,n-1$. Similarly, from (2.5) and (2.6), we can check that

$$\begin{aligned}&-U_{t(k)}+\sqrt{k}F_k^*(U_t\overline{R})+\sqrt{k}F_k^*(U_t\phi_0)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}F_k^*s_t\\&=-\frac{\partial}{\partial z_t}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i\lambda_t\overline{z}_t\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i\lambda_t\overline{z}_t\beta+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\mu_{j,t}\,\overline{z}_j+\delta_kV_{t,k}\,,\quad t=1,\ldots,n-1,\end{aligned}\tag{2.24}$$

on $D_{\log k}$, where δ_k is a sequence tending to zero with $k \to \infty$ and $V_{j,k}$ is a first order differential operator and all the derivatives of the coefficients of $V_{j,k}$ are uniformly bounded in k on $D_{\log k}$, $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$. From (2.23), (2.24) and (2.17), (2.19), (2.21), it is straightforward to see that

Proposition 2.3. *We have that*

$$\Box^{(q)}_{s,(k)} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\Big[\Big(-\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}+\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_j\overline{z}_j\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}-\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_j\overline{z}_j\beta+\sum_{t=1}^{n-1}\mu_{t,\,j}\,\overline{z}_t\Big)\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{z}_j}+\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_j z_j\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\Big)\Big]$$

$$+\sum_{j,\,t=1}^{n-1} e_j\Big(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}}, \frac{\theta}{k}\Big)\wedge e_t\Big(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}}, \frac{\theta}{k}\Big)^{\wedge,*}\Big(\Big(\mu_{j,\,t}-\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_j\delta_{j,\,t}\beta\Big)+\sqrt{2}i\lambda_j\delta_{j,\,t}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\Big)+\varepsilon_k P_k,$$

on $D_{\log k}$, where ε_k is a sequence tending to zero with $k \to \infty$ and P_k is a second order differential operator and all the derivatives of the coefficients of P_k are uniformly bounded in k on $D_{\log k}$.

Let $D \subset D_{\log k}$ be an open set and let $W^s_{kF^*_k\phi_0}(D;\, F^*_k\Lambda^{0,q}T^*(X))$, $s \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$, denote the Sobolev space of order s of sections of $F^*_k\Lambda^{0,q}T^*(X)$ over D with respect to the weight $e^{-kF^*_k\phi_0}$. The Sobolev norm on this space is given by

$$\|u\|^2_{kF^*_k\phi_0,s,D} = \sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^{2n-1},\ |\alpha|\leqslant s\\ |J|=q}}\int_D \big|\partial^\alpha_{x,\theta}u_J\big|^2 e^{-kF^*_k\phi_0}(F^*_k m)(z,\theta)dv(z)dv(\theta), \tag{2.25}$$

where $u = \sum'_{|J|=q} u_J e^J\big(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}}, \frac{\theta}{k}\big) \in W^s_{kF^*_k\phi_0}(D;\, F^*_k\Lambda^{0,q}T^*(X))$ and m is the volume form. If $s = 0$, we write $\|\cdot\|_{kF^*_k\phi_0,D}$ to denote $\|\cdot\|_{kF^*_k\phi_0,0,D}$. We need the following

Proposition 2.4. *For every $r > 0$ with $D_{2r} \subset D_{\log k}$ and $s \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$, there is a constant $C_{r,s} > 0$ independent of k, such that*

$$\|u\|^2_{kF^*_k\phi_0,s+1,D_r} \leqslant C_{r,s}\Big(\|u\|^2_{kF^*_k\phi_0,D_{2r}} + \big\|\Box^{(q)}_{s,(k)}u\big\|^2_{kF^*_k\phi_0,s,D_{2r}}\Big)\,,\ u \in F^*_k\Omega^{0,q}(D_{\log k})\,. \tag{2.26}$$

Proof. Since $Y(q)$ holds, we see from the classical work of Kohn ([24, Th. 7.7], [20, Prop. 5.4.10], [15, Th. 8.4.3]), that $\Box^{(q)}_{s,(k)}$ is hypoelliptic with loss of one derivative and we have (2.26). Since all the derivatives of the coefficients of the operator $\Box^{(q)}_{s,(k)}$ are uniformly bounded in k, if we go through the proof of [15, pp. 193–199] (see also Remark 2.5 below), it is straightforward to see that $C_{r,s}$ can be taken to be independent of k. $\Box$

Remark 2.5. Put

$$A = \{\text{all the coefficients of } \Box^{(q)}_{s,(k)},\ \overline{\partial}_{s,(k)},\ \overline{\partial}^*_{s,(k)},\ [\overline{U}_{j(k)}\ , U_{t(k)}],\ \overline{U}_{j(k)},\ U_{t(k)},$$
$$j, t = 1, \ldots, n-1, \text{ and of } kF^*_k\phi_0,\ F^*_k m\}$$

and $B = \{\text{all the eigenvalues of } \mathcal{L}_p\}$. From the proof of Kohn, we see that for $r > 0$, $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, there exist a semi-norm P on $C^\infty(D_{2r})$ and a strictly positive continuous function $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ such that

$$\|u\|^2_{kF^*_k\phi_0,s+1,D_r} \leqslant\Big(\sum_{f\in A}F(P(f))+\sum_{\lambda\in B}F(\lambda)\Big)\Big(\|u\|^2_{kF^*_k\phi_0,D_{2r}}+\big\|\Box^{(q)}_{s,(k)}u\big\|^2_{kF^*_k\phi_0,s,D_{2r}}\Big), \tag{2.27}$$

where $u \in F^*_k\Omega^{0,q}(D_{\log k})$. Roughly speaking, the constant $C_{r,s}$ in (2.26) depends continuously on the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{L}_p$ and the elements of A in $C^\infty(D_{2r})$ topology. (See also the proof of [32, Lemma 4.1].)

Lemma 2.6. *Let* $\alpha_k\in F_k^*\Omega^{0,q}(D_{\log k})$ *with* $\Box^{(q)}_{s,(k)}\alpha_k=0$ *and* $\|\alpha_k\|_{kF_k^*\phi_0,D_{\log k}}\leqslant 1$*. Then, there is a constant* C*,* C *is independent of* k*, such that* $|\alpha_k(0)|^2\leqslant C$*.*

Proof. Fix $r>0$, r small and let $\chi\in C_0^\infty(D_r)$, $\chi=1$ on $D_{\frac{r}{2}}$. Identify α_k with a form in $\mathbb{R}^{2n-1}$ by extending with zero. Then

$$\begin{aligned}|\chi(0)\alpha_k(0)|&=\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n-1}}\widehat{\chi\alpha_k}(\xi)d\xi\right|=\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n-1}}(1+|\xi|^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}}(1+|\xi|^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}\widehat{\chi\alpha_k}(\xi)d\xi\right|\\&\leqslant\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n-1}}(1+|\xi|^2)^{-n}d\xi\Big)^{\frac12}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n-1}}(1+|\xi|^2)^{n}\left|\widehat{\chi\alpha_k}(\xi)\right|^2d\xi\Big)^{\frac12}\\&\leqslant\widetilde{c}\,\|\alpha_k\|_{kF_k^*\phi_0,n,D_r}\,,\end{aligned}$$

where $\widehat{\chi\alpha_k}$ denotes the Fourier transform of $\chi\alpha_k$. Proposition 2.4 implies that there exists $C'>0$ independent of k such that $\|\alpha_k\|_{kF_k^*\phi_0,n,D_r}\leqslant C'$. The lemma follows. □

Now, we can prove the first part of Theorem 1.5 (estimate (1.16)).

Theorem 2.7. *There is a constant* C_0*,* C_0 *is independent of* k*, such that*

$$k^{-n}\Pi_k^{(q)}(x_0)\leqslant C_0 \tag{2.28}$$

for all $x_0\in X$*.*

Proof. Let $u_k\in H_b^q(X,L^k)$, $\|u_k\|=1$. Set $\alpha_k:=k^{-\frac{n}{2}}F_k^*(e^{-kR}u_k)\in F_k^*\Omega^{0,q}(D_{\log k})$. We recall that R is given by (2.5). (See also (2.7).) We check that $\|\alpha_k\|_{kF_k^*\phi_0,D_{\log k}}\leqslant 1$. Using (2.22) and (2.13), it is not difficult to see that $\Box^{(q)}_{s,(k)}\alpha_k=0$ on $D_{\log k}$. From this and Lemma 2.6, we see that $|\alpha_k(0)|^2=k^{-n}|u_k(0)|^2\leqslant C(0)$, $C(0)$ is independent of k.

Let $\widetilde{x}_0$ be another point of X near 0. We can repeat the procedure above and get $k^{-n}|u_k(\widetilde{x}_0)|^2\leqslant C(\widetilde{x}_0)$, with $C(\widetilde{x}_0)$ independent of k. In view of Remark 2.5, we see that the constant $C(\widetilde{x}_0)$ can be taken to be uniformly bounded in some neighborhood of 0. Since X is compact, we get that there is a constant C_0' independent of k, such that $k^{-n}|u_k(x_0)|^2\leqslant C_0'$ for all $x_0\in X$. Thus, for a local orthonormal frame $\{e^J;|J|=q,\ J$ strictly increasing$\}$ we have $k^{-n}S^{(q)}_{k,J}(x_0)\leqslant C_0'$ (see (2.1) for the definition of $S^{(q)}_{k,J}$). From this and Lemma 2.1, the theorem follows. □

2.3. **The Heisenberg group H_n.** We pause and introduce some notations. We identify $\mathbb{R}^{2n-1}$ with the Heisenberg group $H_n:=\mathbb{C}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{R}$. We also write (z,θ) to denote the coordinates of H_n, $z=(z_1,\ldots,z_{n-1})\in\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$, $z_j=x_{2j-1}+ix_{2j}$, $j=1,\ldots,n-1$, and $\theta\in\mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\Big\{U_{j,H_n}=\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i\lambda_j\overline{z}_j\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\,;\,j=1,\ldots,n-1\Big\}$$

$$\Big\{U_{j,H_n},\overline{U}_{j,H_n},T=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\,;\,j=1,\ldots,n-1\Big\}$$

are orthonormal bases for the bundles $\Lambda^{1,0}T(H_n)$ and $\mathbb{C}T(H_n)$, respectively. Then

$$\Big\{dz_j,d\overline{z}_j,\omega_0=\sqrt{2}d\theta+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(i\lambda_j\overline{z}_jdz_j-i\lambda_jz_jd\overline{z}_j)\,;j=1,\ldots,n-1\Big\}$$

is the basis of $\mathbb{C}T^*(H_n)$ which is dual to $\{U_{j,H_n},\overline{U}_{j,H_n},-T;j=1,\ldots,n-1\}$. We take the Hermitian metric $(\,|\,)$ on $\Lambda^{0,q}T^*(H_n)$ such that $\{d\overline{z}^J:|J|=q,\ J$ strictly increasing$\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\Lambda^{0,q}T^*(H_n)$. The Cauchy-Riemann operator $\overline{\partial}_{b,H_n}$ on H_n is given by

$$\overline{\partial}_{b,H_n} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} d\overline{z}_j \wedge \overline{U}_{j,H_n} : \Omega^{0,q}(H_n) \to \Omega^{0,q+1}(H_n). \tag{2.29}$$

Put $\psi_0(z,\theta) = \beta\theta + \sum_{j,t=1}^{n-1} \mu_{j,t}\overline{z}_j z_t \in C^\infty(H_n;\, \mathbb{R})$, where β and $\mu_{j,t}$, $j,t = 1,\ldots,n-1$, are as in (2.4). Note that

$$\sup_{(z,\theta)\in D_{\log k}} \left|kF_k^*\phi_0 - \psi_0\right| \to 0, \quad \text{as } k \to \infty. \tag{2.30}$$

Let $(\ |\ )_{\psi_0}$ be the inner product on $\Omega_c^{0,q}(H_n)$ defined as follows:

$$(f \mid g)_{\psi_0} = \int_{H_n} (f \mid g) e^{-\psi_0} dv(z) dv(\theta)\,, \quad f,g \in \Omega_c^{0,q}(H_n)\,,$$

where $dv(z) = 2^{n-1}dx_1dx_2\cdots dx_{2n-2}$, $dv(\theta) = \sqrt{2}d\theta$. Let $\overline{\partial}^{*,\psi_0}_{b,H_n} : \Omega^{0,q+1}(H_n) \to \Omega^{0,q}(H_n)$ be the formal adjoint of $\overline{\partial}_{b,H_n}$ with respect to $(\ |\ )_{\psi_0}$. We have

$$\overline{\partial}^{*,\psi_0}_{b,H_n} = \sum_{t=1}^{n-1} d\overline{z}_t^{\wedge,*} \circ \overline{U}^{*,\psi_0}_{t,H_n} : \Omega^{0,q+1}(H_n) \to \Omega^{0,q}(H_n), \tag{2.31}$$

where

$$\overline{U}^{*,\psi_0}_{t,H_n} = -U_{t,H_n} + U_{t,H_n}\psi_0 = -U_{t,H_n} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \mu_{j,t}\overline{z}_j - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} i\lambda_t\overline{z}_t\beta\,. \tag{2.32}$$

The Kohn Laplacian on H_n is given by

$$\Box^{(q)}_{b,H_n} = \overline{\partial}_{b,H_n}\overline{\partial}^{*,\psi_0}_{b,H_n} + \overline{\partial}^{*,\psi_0}_{b,H_n}\overline{\partial}_{b,H_n} : \Omega^{0,q}(H_n) \to \Omega^{0,q}(H_n)\,. \tag{2.33}$$

From (2.29), (2.31) and (2.32), we can check that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Box^{(q)}_{b,H_n}\\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \overline{U}^{*,\psi_0}_{j,H_n}\overline{U}_{j,H_n} + \sum_{j,t=1}^{n-1} d\overline{z}_j \wedge d\overline{z}_t^{\wedge,*}\Big[\Big(\mu_{j,t} - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_j\delta_{j,t}\beta\Big) + i\sqrt{2}\lambda_j\delta_{j,t}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\Big]\\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\Big[\Big(-\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_j\overline{z}_j\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} + \sum_{t=1}^{n-1}\mu_{t,j}\overline{z}_t - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i\lambda_j\overline{z}_j\beta\Big)\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{z}_j} + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_j z_j\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\Big)\Big]\\
&\quad + \sum_{j,t=1}^{n-1} d\overline{z}_j \wedge d\overline{z}_t^{\wedge,*}\Big[\Big(\mu_{j,t} - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_j\delta_{j,t}\beta\Big) + i\sqrt{2}\lambda_j\delta_{j,t}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\Big].
\end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

2.4. **The estimates of the Szegö kernel function $\Pi^{(q)}_k$.** We need the following

Proposition 2.8. *For each k, pick an element $\alpha_k \in F_k^*\Omega^{0,q}(D_{\log k})$ with $\Box^{(q)}_{s,(k)}\alpha_k = 0$ and $\|\alpha_k\|_{kF_k^*\phi_0, D_{\log k}} \leqslant 1$. Identify α_k with a form in H_n by extending it with zero and write $\alpha_k = \sum'_{|J|=q}\alpha_{k,J}e^J(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}}, \frac{\theta}{k})$. Then there is a subsequence $\{\alpha_{k_j}\}$ of $\{\alpha_k\}$ such that for each strictly increasing multiindex J, $|J| = q$, $\alpha_{k_j,J}$ converges uniformly with all its derivatives on any compact subset of H_n to a smooth function α_J. Furthermore, if we put $\alpha = \sum'_{|J|=q}\alpha_J d\overline{z}^J$, then $\Box^{(q)}_{b,H_n}\alpha = 0$.*

Proof. Fix a strictly increasing multiindex J, $|J| = q$, and $r > 0$. From (2.26) and Remark 2.5, we see that for all $s > 0$, there is a constant $C_{r,s}$, $C_{r,s}$ is independent of k, such that $\|\alpha_{k,J}\|_{s,D_r} \leqslant C_{r,s}$ for all k. Rellich 's compactness theorem [34, p. 281] yields a subsequence of $\{\alpha_{k,J}\}$, which converges in all Sobolev spaces $W^s(D_r)$ for $s > 0$. From the Sobolev embedding theorem [34, p. 170], we see that the sequence converges in all

$C^l(D_r)$, $l \geqslant 0$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, locally unformly. Choosing a diagonal sequence, with respect to a sequence of D_r exhausting H_n, we get a subsequence $\left\{\alpha_{k_j,J}\right\}$ of $\left\{\alpha_{k,J}\right\}$ such that $\alpha_{k_j,J}$ converges uniformly with all derivatives on any compact subset of H_n to a smooth function α_J.

Let J' be another strictly increasing multiindex, $|J'| = q$. We can repeat the procedure above and get a subseqyce $\left\{\alpha_{k_{j_s},J'}\right\}$ of $\left\{\alpha_{k_j,J'}\right\}$ such that $\alpha_{k_{j_s},J'}$ converges uniformly with all derivatives on any compact subset of H_n to a smooth function $\alpha_{J'}$. Continuing in this way, we get the first statement of the proposition.

Now, we prove the second statement of the proposition. Let $P = (p_1, \ldots, p_q)$, $R = (r_1, \ldots, r_q)$ be multiindices, $|P| = |R| = q$. Define

$$\varepsilon^P_R = \begin{cases} 0, \ \text{ if } \{p_1, \ldots, p_q\} \neq \{r_1, \ldots, r_q\}, \\ \text{the sign of permutation } \begin{pmatrix} P \\ R \end{pmatrix}, \ \text{ if } \{p_1, \ldots, p_q\} = \{r_1, \ldots, r_q\}. \end{cases}$$

For $j, t = 1, \ldots, n-1$, define

$$\sigma^{jtP}_R = \begin{cases} 0, \ \text{ if } d\overline{z}_j \wedge d\overline{z}^{\wedge,*}_t(d\overline{z}^P) = 0, \\ \varepsilon^Q_R, \ \text{ if } d\overline{z}_j \wedge d\overline{z}^{\wedge,*}_t(d\overline{z}^P) = d\overline{z}^Q, \ |Q| = q. \end{cases}$$

We may assume that $\alpha_{k,J}$ converges uniformly with all derivatives on any compact subset of H_n to a smooth function α_J, for all strictly increasing J, $|J| = q$. Since $\Box^{(q)}_{s,(k)}\alpha_k = 0$, from the explicit formula of $\Box^{(q)}_{s,(k)}$ (see Prop. 2.3), it is not difficult to see that for all strictly increasing J, $|J| = q$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum^{n-1}_{j=1} \overline{U}^{*,\psi_0}_{j,H_n}\overline{U}_{j,H_n}\alpha_{k,J} = - \sideset{}{'}\sum_{\substack{|P|=q, \\ 1\leqslant j,t\leqslant n-1}} \sigma^{jtP}_J \Big[\Big(\mu_{j,t} - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_j\delta_{j,t}\beta\Big) + \sqrt{2}i\lambda_j\delta_{j,t}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\Big]\alpha_{k,P} \\ + \epsilon_k P_{k,J}\alpha_k \end{aligned} \tag{2.35}$$

on $D_{\log k}$, where ϵ_k is a sequence tending to zero with $k \to \infty$ and $P_{k,J}$ is a second order differential operator and all the derivatives of the coefficients of $P_{k,J}$ are uniformly bounded in k on $D_{\log k}$. by letting $k \to \infty$ in (2.35) we get

$$\sum^{n-1}_{j=1} \overline{U}^{*,\psi_0}_{j,H_n}\overline{U}_{j,H_n}\alpha_{k,J} = - \sideset{}{'}\sum_{\substack{|P|=q, \\ 1\leqslant j,t\leqslant n-1}} \sigma^{jtP}_J \Big[\Big(\mu_{j,t} - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_j\delta_{j,t}\beta\Big) + \sqrt{2}i\lambda_j\delta_{j,t}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\Big]\alpha_{k,P} \tag{2.36}$$

on H_n, for all strictly increasing J, $|J| = q$. From this and the explicit formula of $\Box^{(q)}_{b,H_n}$ (see (2.34)), we conclude that $\Box^{(q)}_{b,H_n}\alpha = 0$. The proposition follows. $\Box$

Now, we can prove the main result of this section. In analogy to (2.1) we define the extremal functions $S^{(q)}_{J,H_n}$ on the Heisenberg group along the direction $d\overline{z}^J$ is defined by

$$S^{(q)}_{J,H_n}(0) = \sup\left\{\, |\alpha_J(0)|^2 \,; \Box^{(q)}_{b,H_n}\alpha = 0, \|\alpha\|_{\psi_0} = 1 \right\}. \tag{2.37}$$

where $\alpha = \sum'_{|J|=q} \alpha_J d\overline{z}^J$.

Theorem 2.9. *We have*

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} k^{-n}\Pi^{(q)}_k(0) \leqslant \sideset{}{'}\sum_{|J|=q} S^{(q)}_{J,H_n}(0)\,.$$

Proof. Fix a strictly increasing J, $|J| = q$. We claim that

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} k^{-n}S^{(q)}_{k,J}(0) \leqslant S^{(q)}_{J,H_n}(0). \tag{2.38}$$

By definition, there are $\alpha_{k_j} \in H^q_b(X, L^{k_j})$, $\left\|\alpha_{k_j}\right\| = 1$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots$, $k_1 < k_2 < \cdots$, such that $\lim_{j\to\infty} k_j^{-n}\left|\alpha_{k_j,J}(0)\right|^2 = \limsup_{k\to\infty} k^{-n}S^{(q)}_{k,J}(0)$, where $\alpha_{k_j,J}$ is the component of

α_{k_j} in the direction of e_J. On $D_{\log k_j}$, put $\beta_{k_j} = k_j^{-\frac{n}{2}} F^*_{k_j}(e^{-k_j R}\alpha_{k_j}) \in F^*_{k_j}\Omega^{0,q}(D_{\log k_j})$. It is easy to see that $\left\|\beta_{k_j}\right\|_{k_j F^*_{k_j}\phi_0, D_{\log k_j}} \leqslant 1$ and $\Box^{(q)}_{s,(k_j)}\beta_{k_j} = 0$ on $D_{\log k_j}$. In view of Proposition 2.8, we see that there is a subsequence $\left\{\beta_{k_{j_s}}\right\}$ of $\left\{\beta_{k_j}\right\}$ such that for each J, $\beta_{k_{j_s},J}$ converges uniformly with all derivatives on any compact subset of H_n to a smooth function β_J. Furthermore, if we put $\beta = \sum'_{|P|=q}\beta_P d\overline{z}^P$, then $\Box^{(q)}_{b,H_n}\beta = 0$. From (2.30), we can check that $\|\beta\|_{\psi_0} \leqslant 1$. Thus,

$$|\beta_J(0)|^2 \leqslant \frac{|\beta_J(0)|^2}{\|\beta\|^2_{\psi_0}} \leqslant S^{(q)}_{J,H_n}(0). \tag{2.39}$$

Note that $\lim_{j\to\infty} k_j^{-n}\left|\alpha_{k_j,J}(0)\right|^2 = \lim_{s\to\infty}|\beta_{k_{j_s},J}(0)|^2 = |\beta_J(0)|^2$. From this and (2.39), we get (2.38). From Lemma 2.1, the theorem follows. □

3. The Szegö kernel function on the Heisenberg group H_n

In this section, we will use the same notations as in section 3.2. The main goal of this section is to compute $\sum'_{|J|=q} S^{(q)}_{J,H_n}(0)$.

3.1. The partial Fourier transform.

Let $u(z,\theta) \in \Omega^{0,q}(H_n)$ with $\|u\|_{\psi_0} = 1$ and $\Box^{(q)}_{b,H_n}u = 0$. Put $v(z,\theta) = u(z,\theta)e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}\theta}$ and set $\Phi_0 = \sum_{j,t=1}^{n-1}\mu_{j,t}\overline{z}_j z_t$. We have

$$\int_{H_n}|v(z,\theta)|^2 e^{-\Phi_0(z)}dv(z)dv(\theta) = 1\,.$$

Put $L^2_{(0,q)}(H_n,\Phi_0) = \{u \in \mathscr{D}'(H_n;\, \Lambda^{0,q}T^*(H_n));\ \int_{H_n}|u|^2 e^{-\Phi_0}dv(z)dv(\theta) < \infty\}$. Choose $\chi(\theta) \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R})$ so that $\chi(\theta) = 1$ when $|\theta| < 1$ and $\chi(\theta) = 0$ when $|\theta| > 2$ and set $\chi_j(\theta) = \chi(\theta/j)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$\hat{v}_j(z,\eta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(z,\theta)\chi_j(\theta)e^{-i\theta\eta}dv(\theta) \in \Omega^{0,q}(H_n),\ j = 1,2,\ldots. \tag{3.1}$$

From Parseval's formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{H_n}|\hat{v}_j(z,\eta) - \hat{v}_t(z,\eta)|^2 e^{-\Phi_0(z)}dv(\eta)dv(z)\\ &= 2\pi\int_{H_n}|v(z,\theta)|^2|\chi_j(\theta) - \chi_t(\theta)|^2 e^{-\Phi_0(z)}dv(\theta)dv(z) \to 0,\ j,t\to\infty.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, there is $\hat{v}(z,\eta) \in L^2_{(0,q)}(H_n,\Phi_0)$ such tht $\hat{v}_j(z,\eta) \to \hat{v}(z,\eta)$ in $L^2_{(0,q)}(H_n,\Phi_0)$. We call $\hat{v}(z,\eta)$ the Fourier transform of $v(z,\theta)$ with respect to θ. Formally,

$$\hat{v}(z,\eta) = \int_{H_n} e^{-i\theta\eta}v(z,\theta)dv(\theta). \tag{3.2}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{H_n}|\hat{v}(z,\eta)|^2 e^{-\Phi_0(z)}dv(z)dv(\eta) = \lim_{j\to\infty}\int_{H_n}|\hat{v}_j(z,\eta)|^2 e^{-\Phi_0(z)}dv(z)dv(\eta)\\ &= 2\pi\lim_{j\to\infty}\int_{H_n}\left|u(z,\theta)e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}\theta}\chi_j(\theta)\right|^2 e^{-\Phi_0(z)}dv(z)dv(\theta)\\ &= 2\pi\int_{H_n}|u(z,\theta)|^2 e^{-\psi_0(z,\theta)}dv(z)dv(\theta) = 2\pi < \infty.\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

From Fubini's theorem, $\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}}|\hat{v}(z,\eta)|^2 e^{-\Phi_0(z)}dv(z) < \infty$ for almost all $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$. More precisely, there is a negligeable set $A_0 \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}}|\hat{v}(z,\eta)|^2 e^{-\Phi_0(z)}dv(z) < \infty$, for every $\eta \notin A_0$.

Let $s \in L^2_{(0,q)}(H_n, \Phi_0)$. Assume that $\int \left|s(z,\eta)\right|^2 dv(\eta) < \infty$ and $\int \left|s(z,\eta)\right| dv(\eta) < \infty$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$. Then, from Parseval's formula, we can check that

$$\begin{aligned}&\iint (\hat{v}(z,\eta) \mid s(z,\eta))e^{-\Phi_0(z)}dv(\eta)dv(z)\\ &= \iint (u(z,\theta)e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}\theta} \mid \int e^{i\theta\eta}s(z,\eta)dv(\eta))e^{-\Phi_0(z)}dv(\theta)dv(z).\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

We pause and introduce some notations. Fix $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$, put

$$\Phi_\eta = -\sqrt{2}\eta\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\lambda_j\left|z_j\right|^2 + \sum_{j,t=1}^{n-1}\mu_{j,t}\overline{z}_jz_t \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C}^{n-1};\, \mathbb{R}). \tag{3.5}$$

We take the Hermitian metric $(\ |\ )$ on the bundle $\Lambda^{0,q}T^*(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$ of $(0,q)$ forms of $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ so that $\{d\overline{z}^J; |J| = q,\ J \text{ strictly increasing}\}$ is an orthonormal basis. We also let $\Omega^{0,q}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$ denote the space of smooth sections of $\Lambda^{0,q}T^*(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$ over $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$. Let $\Omega^{0,q}_c(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$ be the subspace of $\Omega^{0,q}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$ whose elements have compact support in $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ and let $(\ |\ )_{\Phi_\eta}$ be the inner product on $\Omega^{0,q}_c(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$ defined by $(f \mid g)_{\Phi_\eta} = \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}}(f \mid g)e^{-\Phi_\eta(z)}dv(z)$, $f, g \in \Omega^{0,q}_c(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$. Let

$$\Box^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta} = \overline{\partial}^{*,\Phi_\eta}\overline{\partial} + \overline{\partial}\,\overline{\partial}^{*,\Phi_\eta} : \Omega^{0,q}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}) \to \Omega^{0,q}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}) \tag{3.6}$$

be the complex Laplacian with respect to $(\ |\ )_{\Phi_\eta}$, where $\overline{\partial}^{*,\Phi_\eta}$ is the formal adjoint of $\overline{\partial}$ with respect to $(\ |\ )_{\Phi_\eta}$. We can check that

$$\begin{aligned}\Box^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta} &= \sum_{t=1}^{n-1}\Big(-\frac{\partial}{\partial z_t} - \sqrt{2}\lambda_t\overline{z}_t\eta + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\mu_{j,t}\overline{z}_j\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{z}_t}\\ &\quad+ \sum_{j,t=1}^{n-1}d\overline{z}_j \wedge d\overline{z}_t^{\wedge,*}\Big(\mu_{j,t} - \sqrt{2}\lambda_j\eta\delta_{j,t}\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Now, we return to our situation. We identify $\Lambda^{0,q}T^*(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$ with $\Lambda^{0,q}T^*(H_n)$. Set

$$\alpha(z,\eta) = \hat{v}(z,\eta)\exp\Big[\Big(\frac{i\beta}{2\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\eta\Big)\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\lambda_j\left|z_j\right|^2\Big]. \tag{3.8}$$

We remind that $\hat{v}(z,\eta)$ is given by (3.2).

Theorem 3.1. *For almost all $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}}\left|\alpha(z,\eta)\right|^2 e^{-\Phi_\eta(z)}dv(z) < \infty$ and*

$$\Box^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}\alpha(z,\eta) = 0 \tag{3.9}$$

in the sense of distributions. Thus $\alpha(z,\eta) \in \Omega^{0,q}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$ for almost all $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Let $A_0 \subset \mathbb{R}$ be as in the discussion after (3.3). Thus, for all $\eta \notin A_0$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}}\left|\hat{v}(z,\eta)\right|^2 e^{-\Phi_0(z)}dv(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}}\left|\alpha(z,\eta)\right|^2 e^{-\Phi_\eta(z)}dv(z) < \infty\,.$$

We only need to prove the second statement of the theorem. Let $f(z) \in \Omega^{0,q}_c(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$. Put $h(\eta) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}}\big(\alpha(z,\eta) \mid \Box^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}f(z)\big)e^{-\Phi_\eta(z)}dv(z)$ if $\eta \notin A_0$, $h(\eta) = 0$ if $\eta \in A_0$. We can check that

$$\left|h(\eta)\right|^2 \leqslant \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}}\left|\alpha(z,\eta)\right|^2 e^{-\Phi_\eta(z)}dv(z)\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}}\left|\Box^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}f\right|^2 e^{-\Phi_\eta(z)}dv(z). \tag{3.10}$$

For $R > 0$, put $\varphi_R(\eta) = \mathbb{1}_{[-R,R]}(\eta)\overline{h}(\eta)$, where $\mathbb{1}_{[-R,R]}(\eta) = 1$ if $-R \leqslant \eta \leqslant R$, $\mathbb{1}_{[-R,R]}(\eta) = 0$ if $\eta < -R$ or $\eta > R$. From (3.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int \left|\varphi_R(\eta)\right|^2 dv(\eta) = \int_{-R}^{R} \left|h(\eta)\right|^2 dv(\eta)\\ &\leqslant C \iint \left|\alpha(z,\eta)\right|^2 e^{-\Phi_\eta(z)} dv(\eta)dv(z) = C \iint \left|\hat{v}(z,\eta)\right|^2 e^{-\Phi_0(z)} dv(\eta)dv(z) < \infty\,,\end{aligned}\tag{3.11}$$

where $C > 0$. Thus, $\varphi_R(\eta) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Set $\lambda\left|z\right|^2 := \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \lambda_j \left|z_j\right|^2$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}} h(\eta)\varphi_R(\eta)dv(\eta) = \int_{-R}^{R} \left|h(\eta)\right|^2 dv(\eta)\\ &= \iint (\alpha(z,\eta) \mid \Box^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta} f(z)) e^{-\Phi_\eta(z)} \varphi_R(\eta) dv(\eta)dv(z)\\ &= \iint \Big(\hat{v}(z,\eta) \mid e^{-\left(\frac{i\beta}{2\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\eta\right)\lambda|z|^2} \Box^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta} f(z)\overline{\varphi}_R(\eta)\Big) e^{-\Phi_0(z)} dv(\eta)dv(z)\\ &\overset{(3.4)}{=} \iint \Big(u(z,\theta) \mid \int e^{i\theta\eta} e^{-\left(\frac{i\beta}{2\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\eta\right)\lambda|z|^2 + \frac{\beta}{2}\theta} \Box^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}(f\overline{\varphi}_R)dv(\eta)\Big) e^{-\psi_0(z,\theta)} dv(\theta)dv(z).\end{aligned}\tag{3.12}$$

From Lemma 3.2 below, we know that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int e^{i\theta\eta} e^{-\left(\frac{i\beta}{2\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\eta\right)\lambda|z|^2 + \frac{\beta}{2}\theta} \Box^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}(f(z)\overline{\varphi}_R(\eta))dv(\eta)\\ &= \Box^{(q)}_{b,H_n}\Big(\int e^{i\theta\eta} e^{-\left(\frac{i\beta}{2\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\eta\right)\lambda|z|^2 + \frac{\beta}{2}\theta} f(z)\overline{\varphi}_R(\eta)dv(\eta)\Big).\end{aligned}\tag{3.13}$$

Put

$$S(z,\theta) = \int e^{i\theta\eta} e^{-\left(\frac{i\beta}{2\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\eta\right)\lambda|z|^2 + \frac{\beta}{2}\theta} f(z)\overline{\varphi}_R(\eta)dv(\eta).\tag{3.14}$$

From (3.13) and (3.14), (3.12) becomes

$$\int_{-R}^{R} \left|h(\eta)\right|^2 dv(\eta) = \iint \big(u(z,\theta) \mid \Box^{(q)}_{b,H_n} S(z,\theta)\big) e^{-\psi_0(z,\theta)} dv(\theta)dv(z).\tag{3.15}$$

Choose $\chi(\theta) \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R})$ so that $\chi(\theta) = 1$ when $|\theta| < 1$ and $\chi(\theta) = 0$ when $|\theta| > 2$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{-R}^{R} \left|h(\eta)\right|^2 dv(\eta) &= \lim_{j\to\infty} \iint (u(z,\theta) \mid \chi(\tfrac{\theta}{j}) \Box^{(q)}_{b,H_n} S(z,\theta)) e^{-\psi_0(z,\theta)} dv(\theta)dv(z)\\ &= \lim_{j\to\infty}\Big(\iint (\Box^{(q)}_{b,H_n} u(z,\theta) \mid \chi(\tfrac{\theta}{j}) S(z,\theta)) e^{-\psi_0(z,\theta)} dv(\theta)dv(z)\\ &+ \iint (u(z,\theta) \mid [\chi(\tfrac{\theta}{j})\ , \Box^{(q)}_{b,H_n}] S(z,\theta)) e^{-\psi_0(z,\theta)} dv(\theta)dv(z)\Big)\\ &= \lim_{j\to\infty} \iint (u(z,\theta) \mid [\chi(\tfrac{\theta}{j})\ , \Box^{(q)}_{b,H_n}] S(z,\theta)) e^{-\psi_0(z,\theta)} dv(\theta)dv(z).\end{aligned}\tag{3.16}$$

We can check that $[\chi(\frac{\theta}{j})\ , \Box^{(q)}_{b,H_n}]$ is a first order partial differential operator and all the coefficients of $[\chi(\frac{\theta}{j})\ , \Box^{(q)}_{b,H_n}]$ converge to 0 as $j \to \infty$ uniformly in θ and locally uniformly in z. Moreover, from Parseval's formula, (3.11) and (3.14), we can check that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{|\alpha|\leqslant 1}\int\left|\partial^\alpha_{x,\theta}S\right|^2e^{-\psi_0}dv(\theta)dv(z)\\
&\leqslant C\sum_{|\alpha|\leqslant 1}\iint(1+|z|+|\eta|+|z|\,|\eta|)^2\left|\partial^\alpha_x f\right|^2\left|\varphi_R(\eta)\right|^2e^{-\Phi_\eta(z)}dv(z)dv(\eta)\\
&\leqslant\widetilde{C}\int\left|\varphi_R(\eta)\right|^2dv(\eta)<\infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C>0$, $\widetilde{C}>0$. Thus,

$$
\lim_{j\to\infty}\iint(u(z,\theta)\mid[\chi(\tfrac{\theta}{j})\ ,\Box^{(q)}_{b,H_n}]S(z,\theta))e^{-\psi_0(z,\theta)}dv(\theta)dv(z)=0.
$$

From this and (3.16), we conclude that $\int_{-R}^{R}|h(\eta)|^2\,dv(\eta)=0$. Letting $R\to\infty$, we get $h(\eta)=0$ almost everywhere. We have proved that for a given $f(z)\in\Omega^{0,q}_c(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$, $\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}}(\alpha(z,\eta)\mid\Box^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}f(z))e^{-\Phi_\eta(z)}dv(z)=0$ almost everywhere.

Let $W^2_{(0,q)}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}):=\{u\in\mathscr{D}'(\mathbb{C}^{n-1};\,\Lambda^{0,q}T^*(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}));\sum_{|\alpha|\leqslant 2}\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}}|\partial^\alpha_x u|^2\,dv(z)<\infty\}$. Since $W^2_{(0,q)}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$ is separable and $\Omega^{0,q}_c(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$ is dense in $W^2_{(0,q)}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$, we can find $f_j\in\Omega^{0,q}_c(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$, $j=1,2,\ldots$, such that $\{f_1,f_2,\ldots\}$ is a dense subset of $W^2_{(0,q)}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$. Moreover, we can take $\{f_1,f_2,\ldots\}$ so that for all $g\in\Omega^{0,q}_c(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$ with $\operatorname{supp}g\subset B_r:=\{z\in\mathbb{C}^{n-1};\,|z|<r\}$, $r>0$, we can find $f_{j_1},f_{j_2},\ldots$, $\operatorname{supp}f_{j_t}\subset B_r$, $t=1,2,\ldots$, such that $f_{j_t}\to g$ for $t\to\infty$ in $W^2_{(0,q)}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$.

Now, for each j, we can repeat the method above and find a measurable set $A_j\supset A_0$, $|A_j|=0$ (A_0 is as in the beginning of the proof), such that $(\alpha(z,\eta)\mid\Box^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}f_j(z))_{\Phi_\eta}=0$ for all $\eta\notin A_j$. Put $A=\bigcup_j A_j$. Then, $|A|=0$ and for all $\eta\notin A$, $(\alpha(z,\eta)\mid\Box^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}f_j(z))_{\Phi_\eta}=0$ for all j. Let $g\in\Omega^{0,q}_c(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$ with $\operatorname{supp}g\subset B_r$. From the discussion above, we can find $f_{j_1},f_{j_2},\ldots$, $\operatorname{supp}f_{j_t}\subset B_r$, $t=1,2,\ldots$, such that $f_{j_t}\to g$ in $W^2_{(0,q)}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$, $t\to\infty$. Then, for $\eta\notin A$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\alpha(z,\eta)\mid\Box^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}g)_{\Phi_\eta}&=(\alpha(z,\eta)\mid\Box^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}(g-f_{j_t})))_{\Phi_\eta}+(\alpha(z,\eta)\mid\Box^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}f_{j_t})_{\Phi_\eta}\\
&=(\alpha(z,\eta)\mid\Box^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}(g-f_{j_t})))_{\Phi_\eta}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|(\alpha(z,\eta)\mid\Box^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}(g-f_{j_t})))_{\Phi_\eta}\right|&=\left|\int_{B_r}(\alpha(z,\eta)\mid\Box^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}(g-f_{j_t}))e^{-\Phi_\eta(z)}dv(z)\right|\\
&\leqslant C\sum_{|\alpha|\leqslant 2}\int\left|\partial^\alpha_x(g-f_{j_t})\right|^2dv(z)\to 0,\quad t\to\infty.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.17}
$$

Thus, for $\eta\notin A$, $(\alpha(z,\eta)\mid\Box^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}g)_{\Phi_\eta}=0$ for all $g\in\Omega^{0,q}_c(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$. The theorem follows. ☐

Lemma 3.2. *Let $f\in\Omega^{0,q}_c(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$. Let $\varphi(\eta)\in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with compact support. Then, we have*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int e^{i\theta\eta}e^{-\left(\frac{i\beta}{2\sqrt{2}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\eta\right)\lambda|z|^2+\frac{\beta}{2}\theta}\,\Box^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}f(z)\varphi(\eta)dv(\eta)\\
&=\Box^{(q)}_{b,H_n}\Big(\int e^{i\theta\eta}e^{-\left(\frac{i\beta}{2\sqrt{2}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\eta\right)\lambda|z|^2+\frac{\beta}{2}\theta}\,f(z)\varphi(\eta)dv(\eta)\Big),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lambda\left|z\right|^2=\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\lambda_j\left|z_j\right|^2$.

Proof. For any $g \in \Omega^{0,q}_c(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$, we can check that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overline{U}_{t,H_n}\Big(\int e^{i\theta\eta}e^{-\left(\frac{i\beta}{2\sqrt{2}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\eta\right)\lambda|z|^2+\frac{\beta}{2}\theta}\,g(z)\varphi(\eta)dv(\eta)\Big)\\
&=\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{z}_t}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i\lambda_tz_t\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\Big)\Big(\int e^{i\theta\eta}e^{-\left(\frac{i\beta}{2\sqrt{2}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\eta\right)\lambda|z|^2+\frac{\beta}{2}\theta}\,g(z)\varphi(\eta)dv(\eta)\Big)\\
&=\int e^{i\theta\eta}e^{-\left(\frac{i\beta}{2\sqrt{2}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\eta\right)\lambda|z|^2+\frac{\beta}{2}\theta}\,\frac{\partial g}{\partial\overline{z}_t}\varphi(\eta)dv(\eta),
\end{aligned}\tag{3.18}$$

where $t = 1, \ldots, n-1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overline{U}^{*,\psi_0}_{t,H_n}\Big(\int e^{i\theta\eta}e^{-\left(\frac{i\beta}{2\sqrt{2}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\eta\right)\lambda|z|^2+\frac{\beta}{2}\theta}\,g(z)\varphi(\eta)dv(\eta)\Big)\\
&=\Big(-\frac{\partial}{\partial z_t}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i\lambda_t\overline{z}_t\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\mu_{j,t}\overline{z}_j-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i\lambda_t\overline{z}_t\beta\Big)\\
&\quad\Big(\int e^{i\theta\eta}e^{-\left(\frac{i\beta}{2\sqrt{2}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\eta\right)\lambda|z|^2+\frac{\beta}{2}\theta}\,g(z)\varphi(\eta)dv(\eta)\Big)\\
&=\int e^{i\theta\eta}e^{-\left(\frac{i\beta}{2\sqrt{2}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\eta\right)\lambda|z|^2+\frac{\beta}{2}\theta}\,(-\frac{\partial g}{\partial z_t}+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\mu_{j,t}\overline{z}_jg-\sqrt{2}\lambda_t\overline{z}_t\eta g)\varphi(\eta)dv(\eta),
\end{aligned}\tag{3.19}$$

where $t = 1, \ldots, n-1$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(\mu_{j,t}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i\lambda_j\delta_{j,t}\beta+\sqrt{2}i\lambda_j\delta_{j,t}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\Big)\circ\Big(\int e^{i\theta\eta}e^{-\left(\frac{i\beta}{2\sqrt{2}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\eta\right)\lambda|z|^2+\frac{\beta}{2}\theta}\,g\varphi(\eta)dv(\eta)\Big)\\
&=\int e^{i\theta\eta}e^{-\left(\frac{i\beta}{2\sqrt{2}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\eta\right)\lambda|z|^2+\frac{\beta}{2}\theta}\,(\mu_{j,t}g-\sqrt{2}\eta\lambda_j\delta_{j,t}g)\varphi(\eta)dv(\eta),
\end{aligned}\tag{3.20}$$

where $j, t = 1, \ldots, n-1$. From (3.18), (3.19), (3.20) and the explicit formulas for $\Box^{(q)}_{b,H_n}$ and $\Box^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}$ (see (2.34) and (3.7)), the lemma follows. $\Box$

3.2. **Estimates for the extremal function on the Heisenberg group.** We will use the same notations as before. For $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$, put

$$L^2_{(0,q)}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1},\Phi_\eta)=\Big\{u\in\mathscr{D}'(\mathbb{C}^{n-1};\,\Lambda^{0,q}T^*(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}));\ \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}}\left|u\right|^2e^{-\Phi_\eta(z)}dv(z)<\infty\Big\}.$$

Let $B^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta} : L^2_{(0,q)}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}, \Phi_\eta) \to \operatorname{Ker}\Box^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}$ be the Bergman projection, i.e. the orthogonal projection onto $\operatorname{Ker}\Box^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}$ with respect to $(\,|\,)_{\Phi_\eta}$. Let $(B^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta})^*$ be the adjoint of $B^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}$ with respect to $(\,|\,)_{\Phi_\eta}$. We have $B^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta} = (B^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta})^* = (B^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta})^2$. Let

$$\begin{gathered}
B^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}(z,w)\in C^\infty(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{C}^{n-1};\,\mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q}T^*_w(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}),\Lambda^{0,q}T^*_z(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})))\\
(B^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}u)(z)=\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}}B^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}(z,w)u(w)e^{-\Phi_\eta(w)}dv(w)\,,\quad u\in L^2_{(0,q)}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1},\Phi_\eta)
\end{gathered}$$

be the distribution kernel of $B^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}$ with respect to $(\,|\,)_{\Phi_\eta}$. We take the Hermitian metrix $(\,|\,)$ on $\Lambda^{1,0}T_z(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$, $z \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$, so that $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$, $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$, is an orthonormal basis. Let

$$M_{\Phi_\eta}:\Lambda^{1,0}T_z(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})\to\Lambda^{1,0}T_z(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})\,,\quad z\in\mathbb{C}^{n-1}\tag{3.21}$$

be the linear map defined by $(M_{\Phi_\eta}U \mid V) = \langle\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_\eta, U \wedge \overline{V}\rangle$, $U, V \in \Lambda^{1,0}T_z(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$. Put

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{R}_q=&\{\eta\in\mathbb{R};\ M_{\Phi_\eta}\text{ has exactly }q\text{ negative eigenvalues}\\
&\text{and }n-1-q\text{ positive eigenvalues}\}.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.22}$$

The following is essentially well-known (see Berman [5]).

Theorem 3.3. *If $\eta \notin \mathbb{R}_q$, then $B^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}(z,z) = 0$, for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$. If $\eta \in \mathbb{R}_q$, let $Z_1(\eta), \ldots, Z_{n-1}(\eta)$ be an orthonormal frame of $\Lambda^{1,0}T_z(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$, for which M_{Φ_η} is diagonal. We assume that $M_{\Phi_\eta} Z_j(\eta) = \nu_j(\eta) Z_j(\eta)$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$, with $\nu_j(\eta) < 0$ for $j = 1, \ldots, q$ and $\nu_j(\eta) > 0$ for $j = q+1, \ldots, n-1$. Let $(T_j(\eta))_{j=1,\ldots,n-1}$, denote the basis of $\Lambda^{0,1}T^*_z(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$, which is dual to $(\overline{Z}_j(\eta))_{j=1,\ldots,n-1}$. Then,*

$$B^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}(z,z) = e^{\Phi_\eta(z)}(2\pi)^{-n+1}\left|\nu_1(\eta)\right|\cdots\left|\nu_{n-1}(\eta)\right|\prod_{j=1}^{q} T_j(\eta) \wedge (T_j(\eta)\wedge)^*. \tag{3.23}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Tr} B^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}(z,z) :&= {\sum_{|J|=q}}' (B^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}(z,z)d\overline{z}^J \mid d\overline{z}^J) \\ &= e^{\Phi_\eta(z)}(2\pi)^{-n+1}\left|\nu_1(\eta)\right|\cdots\left|\nu_{n-1}(\eta)\right| \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_q}(\eta) \\ &= e^{\Phi_\eta(z)}(2\pi)^{-n+1}\left|\det M_{\Phi_\eta}\right| \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_q}(\eta),\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

where $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_q}(\eta)$ is the characteristic function of $\mathbb{R}_q$.

Remark 3.4. We recall that $\Phi_\eta = -\sqrt{2}\eta\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\lambda_j\left|z_j\right|^2 + \sum_{j,t=1}^{n-1}\mu_{j,t}\overline{z}_j z_t$. Since the Levi form is non-degenerate and $Y(q)$ holds, we conclude that $\mathbb{R}_q \subset [-R, R]$ for some $R > 0$.

We return to our situation. Let $u(z,\theta) \in \Omega^{0,q}(H_n)$, $\|u\|_{\psi_0} = 1$, $\Box^{(q)}_{b,H_n} u = 0$. As before, let $\hat{v}(z,\eta)$ be the Fourier transform of $u(z,\theta)e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}\theta}$ with respect to θ. From Theorem 3.1, we know that for α defined in (3.8) we have

$$\alpha(z,\eta) \in \operatorname{Ker}\Box^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta} \cap L^2_{(0,q)}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}, \Phi_\eta) \cap \Omega^{0,q}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}) \tag{3.25}$$

for almost all $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, $\alpha(z,\eta) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} B^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}(z,w)\alpha(w,\eta)e^{-\Phi_\eta(w)}dv(w)$ for almost all $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$. Put $\hat{v}(z,\eta) = \sum'_{|J|=q}\hat{v}_J(z,\eta)d\overline{z}^J$. We have the following

Lemma 3.5. *For J strictly increasing, $|J| = q$, $z \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$, we have that*

$$\left|\hat{v}_J(z,\eta)\right|^2 \leqslant e^{\sqrt{2}\eta\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\lambda_j|z_j|^2}(B^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}(z,z)d\overline{z}^J \mid d\overline{z}^J)\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}}\left|\hat{v}(w,\eta)\right|^2 e^{-\Phi_0(w)}dv(w) \tag{3.26}$$

for almost all $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Let $\varphi \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}}\varphi(z)dv(z) = 1$, $\varphi \geqslant 0$, $\varphi(z) = 0$ if $|z| > 1$. Put $f_j(z) = j^{2n-2}\varphi(jz)e^{\Phi_\eta(z)}$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots$. Then, $\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} f_j(z)e^{-\Phi_\eta(z)}dv(z) = 1$ and $f_j(z) \to \delta_0$ in the sense of distributions with respect to $(\ \mid\ )_{\Phi_\eta}$, that is, $(h(z) \mid f_j(z))_{\Phi_\eta} \to h(0)$, $j \to \infty$, for all $h \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$. Thus, for almost all $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|e^{-\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\lambda_j|z_{0,j}|^2}\hat{v}_J(z_0,\eta)\right| = \left|\alpha_J(z_0,\eta)\right| = \lim_{j\to\infty}\left|(\alpha(z,\eta) \mid f_j(z-z_0)d\overline{z}^J)_{\Phi_\eta}\right| \\ &= \lim_{j\to\infty}\left|(B^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}\alpha \mid f_j(z-z_0)d\overline{z}^J)_{\Phi_\eta}\right| = \lim_{j\to\infty}\left|(\alpha \mid B^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}(f_j(z-z_0)d\overline{z}^J))_{\Phi_\eta}\right|,\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

for all $z_0 = (z_{0,1}, z_{0,2}, \ldots, z_{0,n-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$. Now,

$$\left|(\alpha(z,\eta) \mid B^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}(f_j(z-z_0)d\overline{z}^J))_{\Phi_\eta}\right|^2 \leqslant \|\alpha\|^2_{\Phi_\eta}\left\|B^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}(f_j(z-z_0)d\overline{z}^J)\right\|^2_{\Phi_\eta} \tag{3.28}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|\alpha\|^2_{\Phi_\eta}\left\|B^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}(f_j(z-z_0)d\overline{z}^J)\right\|^2_{\Phi_\eta} &= \|\hat{v}\|^2_{\Phi_0}\left\|B^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}(f_j(z-z_0)d\overline{z}^J)\right\|^2_{\Phi_\eta} \\ &= \|\hat{v}\|^2_{\Phi_0}(B^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}(f_j(z-z_0)d\overline{z}^J) \mid B^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}(f_j(z-z_0)d\overline{z}^J))_{\Phi_\eta} \\ &\longrightarrow \|\hat{v}\|^2_{\Phi_0}(B^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}(z_0,z_0)d\overline{z}^J \mid d\overline{z}^J), \quad j \to \infty.\end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

From (3.27), (3.28) and (3.29), we get for all $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$,

$$\left| e^{-\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\lambda_j|z_{0,j}|^2}\hat{v}_J(z_0,\eta)\right|^2 \leqslant \|\hat{v}\|^2_{\Phi_0}\,(B^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}(z_0,z_0)d\overline{z}^J \mid d\overline{z}^J)$$

for almost all $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$. The lemma follows. □

Put $u(z,\theta) = \sum'_{|J|=q} u_J(z,\theta)d\overline{z}^J$. We have the following

Proposition 3.6. *For $|J| = q$, J is strictly increasing, we have*

$$|u_J(0,0)|^2 \leqslant \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}(B_{\Phi_\eta}(0,0)d\overline{z}^J \mid d\overline{z}^J)dv(\eta). \tag{3.30}$$

Proof. Let $\chi \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R})$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}}\chi dv(\theta) = 1$, $\chi \geqslant 0$ and $\chi_\varepsilon \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R})$, $\chi_\varepsilon(\theta) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\chi(\frac{\theta}{\varepsilon})$. Then, $\chi_\varepsilon \to \delta_0$, $\varepsilon \to 0^+$ in the sense of distributions. Let $\hat{\chi}_\varepsilon$ be the Fourier transform of χ_ε. We can check that $|\hat{\chi}_\varepsilon(\eta)| \leqslant 1$ for all $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\hat{\chi}_\varepsilon(\eta) = \hat{\chi}(\varepsilon\eta)$ and $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\hat{\chi}_\varepsilon(\eta) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\hat{\chi}(\varepsilon\eta) = \hat{\chi}(0) = 1$. Let $\varphi(z)$ be as in the proof of Lemma 3.5. Put $g_j(z) = j^{2n-2}\varphi(jz)e^{\Phi_0(z)}$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots$. Then, for J is strictly increasing, $|J| = q$, we have

$$u_J(0,0) = \lim_{j\to\infty}\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\int_{H_n}(u(z,\theta)e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}\theta} \mid \chi_\varepsilon(\theta)g_j(z)d\overline{z}^J)e^{-\Phi_0(z)}dv(z)dv(\theta). \tag{3.31}$$

From (3.4), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}&\iint \big(u(z,\theta)e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}\theta} \mid \chi_\varepsilon(\theta)g_j(z)d\overline{z}^J\big)e^{-\Phi_0(z)}dv(z)dv(\theta)\\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\iint \big(\hat{v}(z,\eta) \mid \hat{\chi}_\varepsilon(\eta)g_j(z)d\overline{z}^J\big)e^{-\Phi_0(z)}dv(\eta)dv(z).\end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

From (3.26) and Theorem 3.3, we see that

$$|\hat{v}_J(z,\eta)|^2 \leqslant e^{\sqrt{2}\eta\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\lambda_j|z_j|^2}(B_{\Phi_\eta}(z,z)d\overline{z}^J \mid d\overline{z}^J)\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_q}(\eta)\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}}|\hat{v}(w,\eta)|^2 e^{-\Phi_0(w)}dv(w),$$

for almost all $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, for fixed j, $\iint\big|(\hat{v} \mid g_j d\overline{z}^J)\big| e^{-\Phi_0(z)}dv(\eta)dv(z) < \infty$. From this and Lebesque dominated convergence theorem, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\iint \big(\hat{v}(z,\eta) \mid \hat{\chi}_\varepsilon(\eta)g_j(z)d\overline{z}^J\big)e^{-\Phi_0(z)}dv(\eta)dv(z)\\ &\quad = \iint \big(\hat{v}(z,\eta) \mid g_j(z)d\overline{z}^J\big)e^{-\Phi_0(z)}dv(\eta)dv(z).\end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

From (3.32) and (3.33), (3.31) becomes

$$u_J(0,0) = \lim_{j\to\infty}\frac{1}{2\pi}\iint(\hat{v}(z,\eta) \mid g_j(z)d\overline{z}^J)e^{-\Phi_0(z)}dv(\eta)dv(z). \tag{3.34}$$

Put $f_j(\eta)=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int(\hat v(z,\eta)\mid g_j(z)d\overline{z}^J)e^{-\Phi_0(z)}dv(z)$. Since $\hat v(z,\eta)\in\Omega^{0,q}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$ for almost all η, we have $\lim_{j\to\infty}f_j(\eta)=\frac{1}{2\pi}\hat v_J(0,\eta)$ almost everywhere. Now,

(3.35)
$$\begin{aligned}
\left|f_j(\eta)\right|&=\frac{1}{2\pi}\left|\int\big(\hat v(z,\eta)\mid g_j(z)d\overline{z}^J\big)e^{-\Phi_0(z)}dv(z)\right|\\
&=\frac{1}{2\pi}\left|\int_{|z|\leqslant\frac1j}\big(\hat v(z,\eta)\mid j^{2n-2}\varphi(jz)d\overline{z}^J\big)dv(z)\right|\\
&\leqslant\frac{1}{2\pi}\Big(\int_{|z|\leqslant\frac1j}\left|\hat v(z,\eta)\right|^2e^{-\Phi_0(z)}j^{2n-2}dv(z)\Big)^{\frac12}\Big(\int_{|z|\leqslant\frac1j}\left|\varphi(jz)\right|^2e^{\Phi_0(z)}j^{2n-2}dv(z)\Big)^{\frac12}\\
&\leqslant C_1\Big(\int_{|z|\leqslant1}\left|\hat v(\tfrac{z}{j},\eta)\right|^2e^{-\Phi_0(z/j)}dv(z)\Big)^{\frac12}\\
&\leqslant C_2\Big(\int_{|z|\leqslant1}e^{\sqrt{2}\eta\sum_{t=1}^{n-1}\lambda_t\left|\frac{z_t}{j}\right|^2}\left|\operatorname{Tr}B_{\Phi_\eta}(\tfrac{z}{j},\tfrac{z}{j})\right|\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_q}(\eta)dv(z)\Big)^{\frac12}\\
&\quad\times\Big(\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}}\left|\hat v(w,\eta)\right|^2e^{-\Phi_0(w)}dv(w)\Big)^{\frac12}\ \text{(here we used (3.26) and Theorem 3.3)}\\
&\leqslant C_3\Big(\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}}\left|\hat v(w,\eta)\right|^2e^{-\Phi_0(w)}dv(w)\Big)^{\frac12}\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_q}(\eta),
\end{aligned}$$

where C_1,C_2,C_3 are positive constants. From this and Lebesque dominated convergence theorem, we conclude that

$$u_J(0,0)=\lim_{j\to\infty}\int f_j(\eta)dv(\eta)=\int\lim_{j\to\infty}f_j(\eta)dv(\eta)=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int\hat v_J(0,\eta)dv(\eta)\,.$$

Thus,

$$\left|u_J(0,0)\right|\leqslant\frac{1}{2\pi}\int\left|\hat v_J(0,\eta)\right|dv(\eta).\tag{3.36}$$

Since $\int\!\!\int\left|\hat v(w,\eta)\right|^2e^{-\Phi_0(w)}dv(\eta)dv(w)=2\pi$ we obtain from Lemma 3.5 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\int\left|\hat v_J(0,\eta)\right|dv(\eta)\right|^2&\leqslant2\pi\int\frac{\left|\hat v_J(0,\eta)\right|^2}{\int\left|\hat v(w,\eta)\right|^2e^{-\Phi_0(w)}dv(w))}dv(\eta)\\
&\leqslant2\pi\int(B^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}(0,0)d\overline{z}^J\mid d\overline{z}^J)dv(\eta).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.37}$$

From (3.37) and (3.36), the proposition follows. $\square$

From Proposition 3.6, we know that for all $u(z,\theta)=\sum'_{|J|=q}u_J(z,\theta)d\overline{z}^J\in\Omega^{0,q}(H_n)$, $\|u\|_{\psi_0}=1$, $\Box^{(q)}_{b,H_n}u=0$, we have

$$\left|u_J(0,0)\right|^2\leqslant\frac{1}{2\pi}\int(B^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}(0,0)d\overline{z}^J\mid d\overline{z}^J)dv(\eta).$$

Thus, $S^{(q)}_{J,H_n}(0)\leqslant\frac{1}{2\pi}\int(B^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}(0,0)d\overline{z}^J\mid d\overline{z}^J)dv(\eta)$ for all strictly increasing J, $|J|=q$. Hence $\sum'_{|J|=q}S^{(q)}_{J,H_n}(0)\leqslant\frac{1}{2\pi}\int\operatorname{Tr}B^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta}(0,0)dv(\eta)$. From this and Theorem 3.3, we get

Theorem 3.7. *We have* $\sum'_{|J|=q}S^{(q)}_{J,H_n}(0)\leqslant(2\pi)^{-n}\int_{\mathbb{R}_q}\left|\det M_{\Phi_\eta}\right|dv(\eta)$, *where* M_{Φ_η} *is as in* (3.21) *and* $\mathbb{R}_q$ *is as in* (3.22).

3.3. **The Szegö kernel function on the Heisenberg group.** In the rest of this section, we calculate the extremal function for the Heisenberg group (see Theorem 3.10).

For $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$, we can find $z_j(\eta) = \sum_{t=1}^{n-1} a_{j,t}(\eta) z_t$, $j = 1, \dots, n-1$, such that $\Phi_\eta = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \nu_j(\eta) \left|z_j(\eta)\right|^2$, where $\nu_1(\eta), \dots, \nu_{n-1}(\eta)$, are the eigenvalues of M_{Φ_η}, $a_{j,t}(\eta) \in \mathbb{C}$, $j, t = 1, \dots, n-1$. If $\eta \in \mathbb{R}_q$, we assume that $\nu_1(\eta) < 0, \dots, \nu_q(\eta) < 0, \nu_{q+1}(\eta) > 0, \dots, \nu_{n-1}(\eta) > 0$. The following is essentially well-known (see [5]).

Proposition 3.8. *Put*

$$\alpha(z,\eta) = C_0 \left|\det M_{\Phi_\eta}\right| \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_q}(\eta) e^{\nu_1(\eta)|z_1(\eta)|^2 + \dots + \nu_q(\eta)|z_q(\eta)|^2} d\overline{z_1(\eta)} \wedge \dots \wedge d\overline{z_q(\eta)}, \tag{3.38}$$

where $C_0 = (2\pi)^{1-\frac{n}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_q} \left|\det M_{\Phi_\eta}\right| dv(\eta) \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. *Then,* $\Box^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta} \alpha(z,\eta) = 0$ *and*

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} (1 + |z|^2)^{m'} \left|\partial_x^m \alpha(z,\eta)\right|^2 e^{-\Phi_\eta(z)} dv(z) < \infty \tag{3.39}$$

and the value $\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} (1+|z|^2)^{m'} \left|\partial_x^m \alpha(z,\eta)\right|^2 e^{-\Phi_\eta(z)} dv(z)$ *can be bounded by some positive continuous function of the eigenvalues of* M_{Φ_η}, $\eta \in \mathbb{R}_q$, *for all* $m \in \mathbb{N}_0^{2n-2}$, $m' \in \mathbb{N}_0$. *Moreover, we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} \left|\alpha(z,\eta)\right|^2 e^{-\Phi_\eta(z)} dv(z) = 2\pi \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}_q} \left|\det M_{\Phi_\eta}\right| dv(\eta) \Big)^{-1} \left|\det M_{\Phi_\eta}\right| \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_q}(\eta). \tag{3.40}$$

Set

$$u(z,\theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{i\theta\eta + \frac{\beta\theta}{2} + \left(\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{i\beta}{2\sqrt{2}}\right)\lambda|z|^2} \alpha(z,\eta)\, dv(\eta) \in \Omega^{0,q}(H_n), \tag{3.41}$$

where $\alpha(z,\eta)$ is as in (3.38) and $\lambda \left|z\right|^2 := \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \lambda_j \left|z_j\right|^2$.

Proposition 3.9. *We have that*

$$\Box^{(q)}_{b,H_n} u = 0, \tag{3.42}$$

$$\left\|u\right\|_{\psi_0} = 1 \tag{3.43}$$

and

$$\left|u(0,0)\right|^2 = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}_q} \left|\det M_{\Phi_\eta}\right| dv(\eta). \tag{3.44}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\int_{H_n} \left|\partial_x^m \partial_\theta^{m'} u(z,\theta)\right|^2 e^{-\psi_0(z,\theta)} dv(z) dv(\theta) < \infty \tag{3.45}$$

and the value $\int_{H_n} \left|\partial_x^m \partial_\theta^{m'} u(z,\theta)\right|^2 e^{-\psi_0(z,\theta)} dv(z) dv(\theta)$ *can be bounded by some positive continuous function of the eigenvalues of* M_{Φ_η}, $\eta \in \mathbb{R}_q$, β *and* λ_j, $j = 1, \dots, n-1$, *for all* $m \in \mathbb{N}_0^{2n-2}$, $m' \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proof. In view of the proof of Lemma 3.2, we see that

$$\Box^{(q)}_{b,H_n} u(z,\theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{i\theta\eta + \frac{\beta\theta}{2} + \left(\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{i\beta}{2\sqrt{2}}\right)} (\Box^{(q)}_{\Phi_\eta} \alpha)(z,\eta) dv(\eta) = 0.$$

We get (3.42). Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int |u(z,\theta)|^2 e^{-\psi_0(z,\theta)} dv(z)dv(\theta)\\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}\int \left|\iint e^{i\theta\eta+\frac{\beta\theta}{2}+\left(\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{2}}-\frac{i\beta}{2\sqrt{2}}\right)}\alpha(z,\eta)dv(\eta)\right|^2 e^{-\beta\theta-\Phi_0(\eta)}dv(\theta)dv(z)\\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}\int \left|\iint e^{i\theta\eta+\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda|z|^2}\alpha(z,\eta)dv(\eta)\right|^2 dv(\theta)e^{-\Phi_0(z)}dv(z).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.46}
$$

From Parseval's formula, we have

$$
\frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}\int \left|\iint e^{i\theta\eta+\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda|z|^2}\alpha(z,\eta)dv(\eta)\right|^2 dv(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int e^{\sqrt{2}\eta\lambda|z|^2}|\alpha(z,\eta)|^2 dv(\eta). \tag{3.47}
$$

In view of (3.47), (3.46) becomes

$$
\int |u(z,\theta)|^2 e^{-\psi_0(z,\theta)} dv(z)dv(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\iint |\alpha(z,\eta)|^2 e^{-\Phi_\eta(z)}dv(z)dv(\eta).
$$

From (3.40), we can check that $\frac{1}{2\pi}\iint |\alpha(z,\eta)|^2 e^{-\Phi_\eta(z)}dv(z)dv(\eta) = 1$ so we infer (3.43). We obtain (3.44) from the following

$$
\begin{aligned}
|u(0,0)|^2 &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}\left|\int \alpha(0,\eta)dv(\eta)\right|^2 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}C_0^2\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}_q}\left|\det M_{\Phi_\eta}\right| dv(\eta)\Big)^2\\
&= (2\pi)^{-n}\int_{\mathbb{R}_q}\left|\det M_{\Phi_\eta}\right| dv(\eta).
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, from (3.39), (3.41), Parseval's formula and the statement after (3.39), we get (3.45) and the last statement of this proposition. ☐

From Proposition 3.9 and Theorem 3.7, we get the main result of this section:

Theorem 3.10. *We have* $\sum'_{|J|=q} S^{(q)}_{J,H_n}(0) = (2\pi)^{-n}\int_{\mathbb{R}_q}\left|\det M_{\Phi_\eta}\right| dv(\eta)$*, where* M_{Φ_η} *is as in* (3.21) *and* $\mathbb{R}_q$ *is as in* (3.22).

4. Szegö kernel asymptotics and weak Morse inequalities on CR manifolds

In this section we first study the properties of the Hermitian form M^ϕ_p introduced in Definition 1.4, especially its dependence of local trivializations. We then prove (1.17), i.e. the second part of Theorem 1.5 (cf. Theorem 4.4). Finally, we prove Theorem 1.6.

Let s be a local trivializing section of L on an open subset $D\subset X$. Let ϕ be the weight of the Hermitian metric h^L relative to s, that is, the point-wise norm of s is $|s(x)|^2 = e^{-\phi(x)}$, $\phi\in C^\infty(D;\mathbb{R})$. Until further notice, we work on D. Recall that M^ϕ_p, $p\in D$, is the Hermitian quadratic form on $\Lambda^{1,0}T_p(X)$ defined by

$$
M^\phi_p(U,\overline{V}) = \frac{1}{2}\Big\langle U\wedge\overline{V}, d(\overline{\partial}_b\phi-\partial_b\phi)(p)\Big\rangle,\quad U,V\in\Lambda^{1,0}T_p(X)\,.
$$

Lemma 4.1. *For any* $U,V\in\Lambda^{1,0}T_p(X)$*, pick* $\mathcal{U},\mathcal{V}\in C^\infty(D;\Lambda^{1,0}T(X))$ *that satisfy* $\mathcal{U}(p)=U$*,* $\mathcal{V}(p)=V$*. Then,*

$$
M^\phi_p(U,\overline{V}) = -\frac{1}{2}\big\langle\big[\,\mathcal{U},\overline{\mathcal{V}}\,\big](p), \overline{\partial}_b\phi(p)-\partial_b\phi(p)\big\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\big(\mathcal{U}\overline{\mathcal{V}}+\overline{\mathcal{V}}\mathcal{U}\big)\phi(p). \tag{4.1}
$$

Proof. Recall that for a 1-form g and vectorfields V_1, V_2 we have

$$
\langle V_1\wedge V_2, dg\rangle = V_1(\langle V_2,g\rangle) - V_2(\langle V_1,g\rangle) - \langle[V_1,V_2],g\rangle, \tag{4.2}
$$

Taking $V_1=\mathcal{U}$, $V_2=\overline{\mathcal{V}}$ and $g=\overline{\partial}_b\phi-\partial_b\phi$ in (4.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle \mathcal{U}\wedge\overline{\mathcal{V}}, d(\overline{\partial}_b\phi-\partial_b\phi)\rangle\\ &\quad=\mathcal{U}\big(\langle\overline{\mathcal{V}},\overline{\partial}_b\phi-\partial_b\phi\rangle\big)-\overline{\mathcal{V}}\big(\langle\mathcal{U},\overline{\partial}_b\phi-\partial_b\phi\rangle\big)-\big\langle\big[\mathcal{U},\overline{\mathcal{V}}\,\big],\overline{\partial}_b\phi-\partial_b\phi\big\rangle.\end{aligned}\tag{4.3}$$

Note that $\langle\overline{\mathcal{V}},\overline{\partial}_b\phi-\partial_b\phi\rangle=\langle\overline{\mathcal{V}},\overline{\partial}_b\phi\rangle=\overline{\mathcal{V}}\phi$ and $\langle\mathcal{U},\overline{\partial}_b\phi-\partial_b\phi\rangle=\langle\mathcal{U},-\partial_b\phi\rangle=-\mathcal{U}\phi$. From this observation, (4.3) becomes $\langle\mathcal{U}\wedge\overline{\mathcal{V}},d(\overline{\partial}_b\phi-\partial_b\phi)\rangle=(\mathcal{U}\overline{\mathcal{V}}+\overline{\mathcal{V}}\mathcal{U})\phi-\langle[\mathcal{U},\overline{\mathcal{V}}\,],\overline{\partial}_b\phi-\partial_b\phi\rangle$. The lemma follows. □

The definition of M_p^ϕ depends on the choice of local trivializations. Let $\widetilde{D}$ be another local trivialization with $D\cap\widetilde{D}\neq\emptyset$. Let $\widetilde{s}$ be a local trivializing section of L on the open subset $\widetilde{D}$ and the point-wise norm of $\widetilde{s}$ is $|\widetilde{s}(x)|^2=e^{-\widetilde{\phi}(x)}$, $\widetilde{\phi}\in C^\infty(\widetilde{D};\mathbb{R})$. Since $\widetilde{s}=gs$ on $D\cap\widetilde{D}$, for some non-zero CR function g, we can check that

$$\widetilde{\phi}=\phi-2\log|g|\tag{4.4}$$

on $D\cap\widetilde{D}$. Moreover, we have the following

Proposition 4.2. *For $p\in D\cap\widetilde{D}$, we have*

$$M_p^\phi=M_p^{\widetilde{\phi}}+\Big(\frac{Tg}{g}-\frac{T\,\overline{g}}{\overline{g}}\Big)(p)\mathcal{L}_p\,.\tag{4.5}$$

Proof. From (4.4), we can check that $\overline{\partial}_b\widetilde{\phi}=\overline{\partial}_b\phi-\frac{\overline{\partial}_b\overline{g}}{\overline{g}}$ and $\partial_b\widetilde{\phi}=\partial_b\phi-\frac{\partial_b g}{g}$ on $D\cap\widetilde{D}$. From above, we have

$$\langle[U,\overline{V}\,],\overline{\partial}_b\phi-\partial_b\phi\rangle=\langle[U,\overline{V}\,],\overline{\partial}_b\widetilde{\phi}-\partial_b\widetilde{\phi}\rangle+\Big\langle[U,\overline{V}\,],\frac{\overline{\partial}_b\overline{g}}{\overline{g}}-\frac{\partial_b g}{g}\Big\rangle,\tag{4.6}$$

where $U,V\in C^\infty(D\cap\widetilde{D};\,\Lambda^{1,0}T(X))$. From (4.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}(U\overline{V}+\overline{V}U)\phi&=(U\overline{V}+\overline{V}U)(\widetilde{\phi}+2\log|g|)\\ &=(U\overline{V}+\overline{V}U)\widetilde{\phi}+\frac{\overline{V}Ug}{g}+\frac{U\overline{V}\overline{g}}{\overline{g}}\quad(\text{since }\overline{V}g=0,\ U\overline{g}=0)\\ &=(U\overline{V}+\overline{V}U)\widetilde{\phi}-\frac{[U,\overline{V}\,]g}{g}+\frac{[U,\overline{V}\,]\overline{g}}{\overline{g}}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.7}$$

From (4.6), (4.7) and (4.1), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}M_p^\phi(U(p),\overline{V}(p))=&M_p^{\widetilde{\phi}}(U(p),\overline{V}(p))-\Big\langle[U,\overline{V}\,](p),\frac{1}{2}\frac{\overline{\partial}_b\overline{g}}{\overline{g}}(p)-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial_b g}{g}(p)\Big\rangle\\ &-\frac{1}{2}\frac{[U,\overline{V}\,]g}{g}(p)+\frac{1}{2}\frac{[U,\overline{V}\,]\overline{g}}{\overline{g}}(p).\end{aligned}\tag{4.8}$$

We write $[U,\overline{V}\,]=Z+\overline{W}+\alpha(x)T$, where $Z,W\in C^\infty(D\cap\widetilde{D};\,\Lambda^{1,0}T(X))$ and $\alpha(x)\in C^\infty(D\cap\widetilde{D};\,\mathbb{C})$. We can check that $\alpha(p)=-2i\mathcal{L}_p(U(p),\overline{V}(p))$. Since $\overline{W}g=0$ and $Zg=\langle Z,\partial_b g\rangle=\langle[U,\overline{V}\,],\partial_b g\rangle$, we have

$$[U,\overline{V}\,]g(p)=Zg(p)+\alpha(p)Tg(p)=\langle[U,\overline{V}\,](p),\partial_b g(p)\rangle-2i\mathcal{L}_p(U(p),\overline{V}(p))Tg(p).\tag{4.9}$$

Similarly, we have

$$[U,\overline{V}\,]\overline{g}(p)=\langle[U,\overline{V}\,](p),\overline{\partial}_b\overline{g}(p)\rangle-2i\mathcal{L}_p(U(p),\overline{V}(p))T\overline{g}(p).\tag{4.10}$$

Combining (4.9), (4.10) with (4.8), we get

$$M_p^\phi(U(p),\overline{V}(p))=M_p^{\widetilde{\phi}}(U(p),\overline{V}(p))+i\mathcal{L}_p(U(p),\overline{V}(p))\Big(\frac{Tg}{g}-\frac{T\overline{g}}{\overline{g}}\Big)(p).$$

The proposition follows. □

Recall that $\mathbb{R}_{\phi(p),q}$ was defined in (1.14). From (4.5), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{R}_{\widetilde{\phi}(p),q} &= \mathbb{R}_{\phi(p),q} + i\big(\tfrac{Tg}{g} - \tfrac{T\overline{g}}{\overline{g}}\big)(p) \\ &= \big\{s + i\big(\tfrac{Tg}{g} - \tfrac{T\overline{g}}{\overline{g}}\big);\ s \in \mathbb{R}_{\phi(p),q}\big\}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Since $Y(q)$ holds, $\mathbb{R}_{\phi(p),q} \subset [-R, R]$ for some $R > 0$.

From (4.5) and (4.11), we see that the function $x \to \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\phi(x),q}} \big|\det(M^{\phi}_x + s\mathcal{L}_x)\big|\, ds$ does not depend on the choice of ϕ, where $\det(M^{\phi}_x + s\mathcal{L}_x)$ is the product of all the eigenvalues of $M^{\phi}_x + s\mathcal{L}_x$. Thus, the function $x \to \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\phi(x),q}} \big|\det(M^{\phi}_x + s\mathcal{L}_x)\big|\, ds$ is well-defined. Since M^{ϕ}_x and $\mathcal{L}_x$ are continuous functions of x, we conclude that $x \to \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\phi(x),q}} \big|\det(M^{\phi}_x + s\mathcal{L}_x)\big|\, ds$ is a continuous function of x.

Remark 4.3. We take local coordinates $(x, \theta) = (z, \theta) = (x_1, \ldots, x_{2n-2}, \theta)$, $z_j = x_{2j-1} + ix_{2j}$, $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$, as in (2.3) and (2.4) defined on some neighborhood of p. Then, it is straight forward to see that $\mathcal{L}_p = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \lambda_j dz_j \otimes d\overline{z}_j$ and $M^{\phi}_p = \sum_{j,t=1}^{n-1} \mu_{j,t} dz_t \otimes d\overline{z}_j$. Thus,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_{\phi(p),q}} \big|\det(M^{\phi}_p + s\mathcal{L}_p)\big|\, ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\phi(p),q}} \Big|\det\left(\mu_{j,t} + s\delta_{j,t}\lambda_j\right)_{j,t=1}^{n-1}\Big|\, ds \tag{4.12}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{R}_{\phi(p),q} = \big\{&s \in \mathbb{R};\ \text{the matrix } \left(\mu_{j,t} + s\delta_{j,t}\lambda_j\right)_{j,t=1}^{n-1} \text{ has } q \text{ negative eigenvalues} \\ &\text{and } n-1-q \text{ positive eigenvalues}\big\}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

We prove now the precise bound (1.17) which is one of the main result of this work.

Theorem 4.4. *We have for all $p \in X$*

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} k^{-n} \mathit{\Pi}^{(q)}_k(p) \leqslant (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\phi(p),\,q}} \big|\det(M^{\phi}_p + s\mathcal{L}_p)\big|\, ds\,.$$

Proof. For $p \in X$, let $(x, \theta) = (z, \theta) = (x_1, \ldots, x_{2n-1})$, $z_j = x_{2j-1} + ix_{2j}$, $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$, be the coordinate as in (2.3) and (2.4) defined on some neighborhood of p. From Theorem 2.9, we have that $\limsup_{k\to\infty} k^{-n} \mathit{\Pi}^{(q)}_k(0) \leqslant \sum'_{|J|=q} S^{(q)}_{J,H_n}(0)$. From Theorem 3.10, we know that $\sum'_{|J|=q} S^{(q)}_{J,H_n}(0) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}_q} \big|\det M_{\Phi_\eta}\big|\, dv(\eta)$, where M_{Φ_η} is as in (3.21) and $\mathbb{R}_q$ is as in (3.22). Thus,

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} k^{-n} \mathit{\Pi}^{(q)}_k(0) \leqslant (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}_q} \big|\det M_{\Phi_\eta}\big|\, dv(\eta). \tag{4.14}$$

From (3.21), (3.22) and the definition of Φ_η (see (3.5)), we see that

$$\det M_{\Phi_\eta} = \det\Big(\mu_{j,t} - \sqrt{2}\eta\lambda_j\delta_{j,t}\Big)_{j,t=1}^{n-1} \tag{4.15}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{R}_q = \big\{&\eta \in \mathbb{R};\ \text{the matrix } \big(\mu_{j,t} - \sqrt{2}\eta\delta_{j,t}\lambda_j\big)_{j,t=1}^{n-1} \text{ has } q \text{ negative eigenvalues} \\ &\text{and } n-1-q \text{ positive eigenvalues}\big\}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

Note that $dv(\eta) = \sqrt{2}d\eta$. From this and (4.15), (4.16), (4.12), (4.13), it is easy to see that $\int_{\mathbb{R}_q} \big|\det M_{\Phi_\eta}\big|\, dv(\eta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\phi(p),q}} \big|\det(M^{\phi}_p + s\mathcal{L}_p)\big|\, ds$. From this and (4.14), the theorem follows. ☐

Proof of Theorem 1.6. By (1.10)-(1.12) we have $\dim H^q_b(X,L^k)=\int_X\Pi^{(q)}_k(x)dm(x)$. In view of Theorem 2.7, $\sup_k k^{-n}\Pi^{(q)}_k(\cdot)$ is integrable on X. Thus, we can apply Fatou's lemma and we get by using Theorem 4.4:

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_{k\to\infty}\, k^{-n}\dim H^q_b(X,L^k)&\leqslant\int_X\limsup_{k\to\infty}k^{-n}\Pi^{(q)}_k(x)dm(x)\\&\leqslant(2\pi)^{-n}\int_X\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{\phi(x),q}}\big|\det(M^\phi_x+s\mathcal{L}_x)\big|\,ds\Big)dm(x).\end{aligned}$$

The theorem follows. ☐

5. Strong Morse inequalities on CR manifolds

In this section, we will establish the strong Morse inequalities on CR manifolds. We first recall some well-known facts. We know [24, Th. 7.6], [20, Th. 5.4.11–12], [15, Cor. 8.4.7–8] that if $Y(q)$ holds, then $\Box^{(q)}_{b,k}$ has a discrete spectrum, each eigenvalues occurs with finite multiplicity and all eigenforms are smooth. For $\lambda\in\mathbb{R}$, let $\mathscr{H}^q_{b,\leqslant\lambda}(X,L^k)$ denote the space spanned by the eigenforms of $\Box^{(q)}_{b,k}$ whose eigenvalues are bounded by λ and denote by $\Pi^{(q)}_{k,\leqslant\lambda}$ the Szegö kernel function of the space $\mathscr{H}^q_{b,\leqslant\lambda}(X,L^k)$. Similarly, let $\mathscr{H}^q_{b,>\lambda}(X,L^k)$ denote the space spanned by the eigenforms of $\Box^{(q)}_{b,k}$ whose eigenvalues are $>\lambda$.

Let Q_b be the Hermitian form on $\Omega^{0,q}(X,L^k)$ defined for $u,v\in\Omega^{0,q}(X,L^k)$ by

$$Q_b(u,v)=(\overline\partial_{b,k}u\mid\overline\partial_{b,k}v)_k+(\overline\partial^*_{b,k}u\mid\overline\partial^*_{b,k}v)_k+(u\mid v)_k=(\Box^{(q)}_{b,k}u\mid v)_k+(u\mid v)_k\,.$$

Let $\overline{\Omega^{0,q}(X,L^k)}$ be the completion of $\Omega^{0,q}(X,L^k)$ under Q_b in $L^2_{(0,q)}(X,L^k)$. For $\lambda>0$, we have the orthogonal spectral decomposition with respect to Q_b:

$$\overline{\Omega^{0,q}(X,L^k)}=\mathscr{H}^q_{b,\leqslant\lambda}(X,L^k)\oplus\overline{\mathscr{H}^q_{b,>\lambda}(X,L^k)},\tag{5.1}$$

where $\overline{\mathscr{H}^q_{b,>\lambda}(X,L^k)}$ is the completion of $\mathscr{H}^q_{b,>\lambda}(X,L^k)$ under Q_b in $L^2_{(0,q)}(X,L^k)$.

Let $u\in\overline{\mathscr{H}^q_{b,>\lambda}(X,L^k)}\cap\Omega^{0,q}(X,L^k)$. There are $f_j\in\mathscr{H}^q_{b,>\lambda}(X,L^k)$, $j=1,2,\ldots$, such that $Q_b(f_j-u)\to0$, as $j\to\infty$. From this, we can check that $(\Box^{(q)}_{b,k}f_j\mid f_j)_k\to(\Box^{(q)}_{b,k}u\mid u)_k$, as $j\to\infty$, and

$$\|u\|^2=\lim_{j\to\infty}\|f_j\|^2=\lim_{j\to\infty}(f_j\mid f_j)_k\leqslant\lim_{j\to\infty}\frac{1}{\lambda}\big(\Box^{(q)}_{b,k}f_j\mid f_j\big)_k=\frac{1}{\lambda}\big(\Box^{(q)}_{b,k}u\mid u\big)_k\,.\tag{5.2}$$

We return to our situation. We will use the same notations as in section 3. For a given point $p\in X$, let s be a local trivializing section of L on an open neighborhood of p and $|s|^2=e^{-\phi}$. Let $(x,\theta)=(z,\theta)=(x_1,\ldots,x_{2n-2},\theta)$, $z_j=x_{2j-1}+ix_{2j}$, $j=1,\ldots,n-1$, be the local coordinates as in (2.3) and (2.4) defined on an open set D of p. Note that $(x(p),\theta(p))=0$. We identify D with some open set of H_n.

Let $u(z,\theta)=\sum'_{|J|=q}u_J(z,\theta)d\overline z^J\in\Omega^{0,q}(H_n)$ be as in (3.41) and Proposition 3.9. From (3.45) and the statement after (3.45), we know that the value

$$\int\Big|\partial^m_x\partial^{m'}_\theta u\Big|^2e^{-\psi_0}dv(z)dv(\theta)$$

is finite and can be bounded by some positive continuous function of the eigenvalues of M_{Φ_η}, $\eta\in\mathbb{R}_q$, β and λ_j, $j=1,\ldots,n-1$, for all $m'\in\mathbb{N}_0$, $m\in\mathbb{N}^{2n-2}_0$. Since X is compact, we deduce that for every $m\in\mathbb{N}^{2n-2}_0$, $m'\in\mathbb{N}_0$, we can find $M_{m,m'}>0$ independent of the point p, such that

$$\int_{H_n}\Big|\partial^m_x\partial^{m'}_\theta u\Big|^2e^{-\psi_0}dv(\theta)dv(z)<M_{m,m'}.\tag{5.3}$$

Set $\beta_k(z,\theta)=\chi_k(\sqrt{k}z,k\theta)\sum'_{|J|=q}u_J(\sqrt{k}z,k\theta)e^J(z,\theta)\in\Omega^{0,q}(D)$. Here χ is a smooth function, $0\leqslant\chi\leqslant 1$, supported on D_1 which equals one on $D_{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\chi_k(z,\theta)=\chi(\frac{z}{\log k},\frac{\theta}{\sqrt{k}\log k})$. We remind that $(e_j)_{j=1,\ldots,n-1}$ denotes the basis of $\Lambda^{0,1}T^*(X)$, which is dual to $(\overline{U}_j)_{j=1,\ldots,n-1}$, where $(U_j)_{j=1,\ldots,n-1}$ are as in (2.3). We notice that for k large, $\operatorname{Supp}\beta_k\subset D_{\frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}}}$. From Proposition 2.3 and (2.34), we have

$$(\Box^{(q)}_{s,(k)})(F^*_k\beta_k)=\Box^{(q)}_{b,H_n}\big(\chi_k(z,\theta)u(z,\theta)\big)+\varepsilon_kP_k(F^*_k\beta_k),\tag{5.4}$$

where ε_k is a sequence tending to zero with $k\to\infty$ and P_k is a second order differential operator and all the derivatives of the coefficients of P_k are uniform bounded in k. Note that $\Box^{(q)}_{b,H_n}u=0$ and $\sup_{(z,\theta)\in D_{\log k}}|kF^*_k\phi_0-\psi_0|\to 0$ as $k\to\infty$ (ϕ_0 is as in (2.6)). From this, (5.4) and (5.3), we deduce that there is a sequence $\delta_k>0$, independent of the point p and tending to zero such that

$$\big\|\Box^{(q)}_{s,(k)}(F^*_k\beta_k)\big\|_{kF^*_k\phi_0}\leqslant\delta_k.\tag{5.5}$$

Similarly, we have for all $m\in\mathbb{N}$

$$\big\|(\Box^{(q)}_{s,(k)})^m(F^*_k\beta_k)\big\|_{kF^*_k\phi_0}\to 0\ \text{ as } k\to\infty\,.\tag{5.6}$$

Now define $\alpha_k\in\Omega^{0,q}(X,L^k)$ by

$$\alpha_k(z,\theta)=s^kk^{\frac{n}{2}}e^{kR}\beta_k(z,\theta)\ \ (R(z,\theta)\text{ is as in (2.5)}).\tag{5.7}$$

We can check that

$$k^{-n}\left|\alpha_k(0,0)\right|^2=\left|\beta_k(0,0)\right|^2=\left|u(0,0)\right|^2=(2\pi)^{-n}\int_{\mathbb{R}_{\phi(p),q}}\left|\det(M^\phi_p+s\mathcal{L}_p)\right|ds\tag{5.8}$$

for all k, and

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\alpha_k\right\|^2&=\int k^ne^{k(R+\overline{R})}\left|\beta_k\right|^2e^{-k\phi}m(z,\theta)dv(z)dv(\theta)\\&=\int k^ne^{-k\phi_0}\left|\beta_k\right|^2m(z,\theta)dv(z)dv(\theta)\\&=\int e^{-kF^*_k\phi_0}\left|\chi_k(z,\theta)\right|^2\left|u(z,\theta)\right|^2m\big(\tfrac{z}{\sqrt{k}},\tfrac{\theta}{k}\big)dv(z)dv(\theta)\\&\to\int\left|u\right|^2e^{-\psi_0(z,\theta)}dv(z)dv(\theta)=1,\quad\text{as }k\to\infty,\end{aligned}\tag{5.9}$$

where $m(z,\theta)dv(z)dv(\theta)$ is the volume form. Note that $m(0,0)=1$. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&(\tfrac{1}{k}\Box^{(q)}_{b,k}\alpha_k\mid\alpha_k)_k\\&\quad=\int k^n(\tfrac{1}{k}\Box^{(q)}_s\beta_k\mid\beta_k)e^{-k\phi_0}m(z,\theta)dv(z)dv(\theta)\ \ \text{(by (2.13))}\\&\quad=\int(\tfrac{1}{k}F^*_k(\Box^{(q)}_s\beta_k)\mid F^*_k\beta_k)_{F^*_k}e^{-kF^*_k\phi_0}(F^*_km)dv(z)dv(\theta)\\&\quad=\int((\Box^{(q)}_{s,(k)})F^*_k\beta_k\mid F^*_k\beta_k)_{F^*_k}e^{-kF^*_k\phi_0}(F^*_km)dv(z)dv(\theta)\ \ \text{(by (2.22))}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.10}$$

From (5.5) and the fact that $\|F^*_k\beta_k\|_{kF^*_k\phi_0}\leqslant 1$, we deduce that there is a sequence $\mu_k>0$, independent of the point p and tending to zero such that

$$\big(\tfrac{1}{k}\Box^{(q)}_{b,k}\alpha_k\ \big|\ \alpha_k\big)_k\leqslant\mu_k.\tag{5.11}$$

Similarly, from (5.6), we can repeat the procedure above with minor changes and get

$$\big\|\big(\tfrac{1}{k}\Box^{(q)}_{b,k}\big)^m\alpha_k\big\|\to 0\ \text{ as } k\to\infty,\tag{5.12}$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, we can prove

Proposition 5.1. *Let* $\nu_k > 0$ *be any sequence with* $\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\mu_k}{\nu_k} = 0$*, where* μ_k *is as in* (5.11)*. Then,* $\liminf_{k\to\infty} k^{-n} \mathit{\Pi}^{(q)}_{k,\leqslant k\nu_k}(0) \geqslant (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\phi(p),q}} \left|\det(M^\phi_p + s\mathcal{L}_p)\right| ds$*.*

Proof. Let α_k be as in (5.7). From (5.1), we have $\alpha_k = \alpha^1_k + \alpha^2_k$, where $\alpha^1_k \in \mathscr{H}^q_{b,\leq k\nu_k}(X, L^k)$, $\alpha^2_k \in \overline{\mathscr{H}^q_{b,>k\nu_k}(X, L^k)}$. From (5.2), we have

$$\left\|\alpha^2_k\right\|^2 \leqslant \frac{1}{k\nu_k}\big(\Box^{(q)}_{b,k}\alpha^2_k \mid \alpha^2_k\big)_k \leqslant \frac{1}{k\nu_k}\big(\Box^{(q)}_{b,k}\alpha_k \mid \alpha_k\big)_k \leqslant \frac{\mu_k}{\nu_k} \to 0,$$

as $k \to \infty$. Thus, $\lim_{k\to\infty} \|\alpha^2_k\| = 0$. Since $\alpha_k \to 1$ as $k \to \infty$, we get

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \left\|\alpha^1_k\right\| = 1. \tag{5.13}$$

Now, we claim that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} k^{-n} \left|\alpha^2_k(0)\right|^2 = 0. \tag{5.14}$$

On D, we write $\alpha^2_k = s^k k^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{kR} \beta^2_k$, $\beta^2_k \in \Omega^{0,q}(D)$. From (2.26) and the proof of Lemma 2.6, we see that

$$\left|F^*_k\beta^2_k(0)\right|^2 \leqslant C_{n-1,r}\Big(\left\|F^*_k\beta^2_k\right\|^2_{kF^*_k\phi_0,D_r} + \left\|(\Box^{(q)}_{s,(k)})F^*_k\beta^2_k\right\|^2_{kF^*_k\phi_0,n-1,D_r}\Big), \tag{5.15}$$

for some $r > 0$. Now, we have

$$\left\|F^*_k\beta^2_k\right\|^2_{kF^*_k\phi_0,D_r} \leqslant \left\|\alpha^2_k\right\|^2 \to 0, \quad \text{as } k \to \infty. \tag{5.16}$$

Moreover, from (2.26) and using induction, we get

$$\left\|(\Box^{(q)}_{s,(k)}F^*_k\beta^2_k\right\|^2_{kF^*_k\phi_0,n-1,D_r} \leqslant C' \sum_{m=1}^{n} \left\|(\Box^{(q)}_{s,(k)})^m F^*_k\beta^2_k\right\|^2_{kF^*_k\phi_0,D_{r'}}, \tag{5.17}$$

for some $r' > 0$, where $C' > 0$ is independent of k. We can check that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left\|(\Box^{(q)}_{s,(k)})^m F^*_k\beta^2_k\right\|^2_{kF^*_k\phi_0,D_{r'}} \leqslant \left\|(\tfrac{1}{k}\Box^{(q)}_{b,k})^m\alpha^2_k\right\|^2 \leqslant \left\|(\tfrac{1}{k}\Box^{(q)}_{b,k})^m\alpha_k\right\|^2 \to 0 \ \text{ as } k \to \infty. \tag{5.18}$$

Here we used (5.12). Combining (5.18), (5.17), (5.16) with (5.15), we get

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \left|F^*_k\beta^2_k(0)\right|^2 = \lim_{k\to\infty} \left|\beta^2_k(0)\right|^2 = \lim_{k\to\infty} k^{-n} \left|\alpha^2_k(0)\right|^2 = 0.$$

Hence (5.14) follows. From this and (5.8), we conclude

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} k^{-n} \left|\alpha^1_k(0)\right|^2 = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\phi(p),q}} \left|\det(M^\phi_p + s\mathcal{L}_p)\right| ds. \tag{5.19}$$

Now,

$$k^{-n}\mathit{\Pi}^{(q)}_{k,\leqslant k\nu_k}(0) \geqslant k^{-n}\frac{\left|\alpha^1_k(0)\right|^2}{\left\|\alpha^1_k\right\|^2} \to (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\phi(p),q}} \left|\det(M^\phi_p + s\mathcal{L}_p)\right| ds, \quad \text{as } k \to \infty.$$

The proposition follows. ☐

Proposition 5.2. *Let* $\nu_k > 0$ *be any sequence with* $\nu_k \to 0$*, as* $k \to \infty$*. Then,*

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} k^{-n}\mathit{\Pi}^{(q)}_{k,\leqslant k\nu_k}(0) \leqslant (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\phi(p),q}} \left|\det(M^\phi_p + s\mathcal{L}_p)\right| ds.$$

Proof. The proof is a simple modification of the proof of Theorem 4.4 and in what follows these modifications will be presented. Let $\alpha_k \in \mathscr{H}^q_{b,\leqslant k\nu_k}(X, L^k)$ with $\|\alpha_k\| = 1$. On D, we write $\alpha_k = s^k k^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{kR}\beta_k$, $\beta_k \in \Omega^{0,q}(D)$. From (2.26) and using induction, we get

$$\|F_k^*\beta_k\|^2_{kF_k^*\phi_0,s+1,D_r} \leqslant C_{r,s}\Big(\|F_k^*\beta_k\|^2_{kF_k^*\phi_0,D_{2r}} + \sum_{m=1}^{s+1}\Big\|(\Box^{(q)}_{s,(k)})^m F_k^*\beta_k\Big\|^2_{kF_k^*\phi_0,D_{2r}}\Big). \tag{5.20}$$

We can check that $\big\|(\Box^{(q)}_{s,(k)})^m F_k^*\beta_k\big\|^2_{kF_k^*\phi_0,D_{2r}} \leqslant \big\|(\frac{1}{k}\Box^{(q)}_{b,k})^m\alpha_k\big\| \leqslant \nu_k^m \to 0$. Thus, the conclusion of Proposition 2.8 is still valid and the rest of the argument goes through word by word. ☐

Proof of Theorems 1.7, 1.8 and 1.9. We can repeat the proof of Theorem 2.7 and conclude that for any sequence (ν_k) with $\nu_k \to 0$, as $k \to \infty$, there is a constant C_0 independent of k, such that $k^{-n}\Pi^{(q)}_{k,\leqslant k\nu_k}(x_0) \leqslant C_0$ for all $x_0 \in X$. From this, Proposition 5.1 and Proposition 5.2 and the fact that the sequence (μ_k) in (5.11) is independent of the point p, we get Theorem 1.7. By integrating Theorem 1.7 we obtain Theorem 1.8. By applying the algebraic Morse inequalities [26, Lemma 3.2.12] to the $\overline{\partial}_{b,k}$-complex (1.5) we deduce in view of Theorem 1.8 the strong Morse inequalities of Theorem 1.9. ☐

6. EXAMPLES

In this section, some examples are collected. The aim is to illustrate the main results in some simple situations. First, we state our main results in the embedded case.

6.1. **The main results in the embedded cases.**

Let M be a relatively compact open subset with C^∞ boundary X of a complex manifold M' of dimension n with a smooth Hermitian metric $(\ |\ )$. Furthermore, let L be a Hermitian holomorphic line bundle over M' with fiber metric ϕ. If $s(x)$ is a local trivializing section of L on an open subset $D \subset M'$, then $|s(x)|^2 = e^{-\phi}$. If we restict L on the boundary X, then L is a CR line bundle over the CR manifold X. For $p \in X$, let M^ϕ_p be as in Definition 1.4.

Proposition 6.1. *For $U, V \in \Lambda^{1,0}T_p(X)$, we have $M^\phi_p(U, \overline{V}) = \big\langle \partial\overline{\partial}\phi(p), U \wedge \overline{V}\big\rangle$.*

Proof. Let $r \in C^\infty(X;\ \mathbb{R})$ be a defining function of X. For $U, V \in \Lambda^{1,0}T_p(X)$, pick $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \in C^\infty(M';\ \Lambda^{1,0}T(M'))$ that satisfy $\mathcal{U}(p) = U$, $\mathcal{V}(p) = V$ and $\mathcal{U}(r) = \mathcal{V}(r) = 0$ in a neighborhood of p in M'. From (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\big\langle \mathcal{U} \wedge \overline{\mathcal{V}}, \partial\overline{\partial}\phi\big\rangle &= \big\langle \mathcal{U} \wedge \overline{\mathcal{V}}, d(\overline{\partial}\phi - \partial\phi)\big\rangle \\ &= \mathcal{U}\big(\langle \overline{\mathcal{V}}, \overline{\partial}\phi - \partial\phi\rangle\big) - \overline{\mathcal{V}}\big(\langle \mathcal{U}, \overline{\partial}\phi - \partial\phi\rangle\big) - \big\langle \big[\mathcal{U}, \overline{\mathcal{V}}\big], \overline{\partial}\phi - \partial\phi\big\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

Note that $\langle \overline{\mathcal{V}}, \overline{\partial}\phi - \partial\phi\rangle = \langle \overline{\mathcal{V}}, \overline{\partial}\phi\rangle = \overline{\mathcal{V}}\phi$ and $\langle \mathcal{U}, \overline{\partial}\phi - \partial\phi\rangle = \langle \mathcal{U}, -\partial\phi\rangle = -\mathcal{U}\phi$. From this observation, (6.1) becomes

$$2\big\langle \mathcal{U} \wedge \overline{\mathcal{V}}, \partial\overline{\partial}\phi\big\rangle = \big(\mathcal{U}\overline{\mathcal{V}} + \overline{\mathcal{V}}\mathcal{U}\big)\phi - \big\langle \big[\mathcal{U}, \overline{\mathcal{V}}\big], \overline{\partial}\phi - \partial\phi\big\rangle. \tag{6.2}$$

Since $\mathcal{U}(r) = \mathcal{V}(r) = 0$ in a neighborhood of p in M', we have

$$\big(\mathcal{U}\overline{\mathcal{V}} + \overline{\mathcal{V}}\mathcal{U}\big)\phi(p) = \big(\mathcal{U}|_X\overline{\mathcal{V}}|_X + \overline{\mathcal{V}}|_X\mathcal{U}|_X\big)\phi|_X(p)$$

and

$$\big\langle \big[\mathcal{U}, \overline{\mathcal{V}}\big], \overline{\partial}\phi - \partial\phi\big\rangle(p) = \big\langle \big[\mathcal{U}|_X, \overline{\mathcal{V}}|_X\big], \overline{\partial}_b\phi|_X - \partial_b\phi|_X\big\rangle(p),$$

where $\mathcal{U}|_X$ is the restriction to X of $\mathcal{U}$ and similarly for $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$ and ϕ. From this observation and (6.2), we conclude that

$$2\big\langle \mathcal{U} \wedge \overline{\mathcal{V}}, \partial\overline{\partial}\phi\big\rangle(p) = \big(\mathcal{U}|_X\overline{\mathcal{V}}|_X + \overline{\mathcal{V}}|_X\mathcal{U}|_X\big)\phi|_X(p) - \big\langle \big[\mathcal{U}|_X, \overline{\mathcal{V}}|_X\big], \overline{\partial}_b\phi|_X - \partial_b\phi|_X\big\rangle(p). \tag{6.3}$$

From (6.3) and Lemma 4.1, the proposition follows. ☐

We denote by R^L_X the restriction of R^L to $\Lambda^{1,0}T(X)$. As before, let $\mathcal{L}_p$ be the Levi form of X at $p \in X$. We define the set $\mathbb{R}_{\phi(p),q}$ as in (1.14). Set

$$I^q(X,L) := \int_X \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\phi(x),q}} \big|\det\big(R^L_X + s\mathcal{L}_x\big)\big|\, ds\, dm(x)\,. \tag{6.4}$$

Now, we can reformulate Theorem 1.6 and Theorem 1.9:

Theorem 6.2. *If the Levi form is non-degenerate and condition $Y(q)$ holds, then*

$$\dim H^q_b(X, L^k) \leqslant k^n (2\pi)^{-n} I^q(X,L) + o(k^n),$$

If condition $Y(j)$ holds, for all $j = 0, 1, \ldots, q$, then

$$\sum_{j=0}^{q} (-1)^{q-j} \dim H^j_b(X, L^k) \leqslant k^n (2\pi)^{-n} \sum_{j=0}^{q} (-1)^{q-j} I^j(X,L) + o(k^n). \tag{6.5}$$

If condition $Y(j)$ holds, for all $j = q, q+1, \ldots, n-1$, then

$$\sum_{j=q}^{n-1} (-1)^{q-j} \dim H^j_b(X, L^k) \leqslant k^n (2\pi)^{-n} \sum_{j=q}^{n-1} (-1)^{q-j} I^j(X,L) + o(k^n).$$

Proof of Theorem 1.12. The hypothesis of Theorem 1.12 imply that $\mathbb{R}_{\phi(p),0}$ is nonempty and $\mathbb{R}_{\phi(p),1} = \emptyset$ for all $p \in X$. Thus the strong Morse inequalities (6.5) for $q = 1$ imply the conclusion. □

Proof of Theorem 1.14. Note that X and L satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1.12. We have thus $\dim H^0_b(X, L^k) = O(k^n)$, $k \to \infty$. Moreover, every CR function on X extends locally to a holomorphic function in a small open set of M. For $b < c$ denote by $M^c_b = \{b < \rho < c\}$. Thus, there exist $b < a < c$ such that the restriction morphism $H^0(M^c_b, E) \to H^0(X, E)$ is an isomorphism for any holomorphic line bundle $E \to M$. Moreover, we know by [1] that the restriction $H^0(M, E) \to H^0(M^c_b, E)$ is an isomorphism. Therefore

$$\dim H^0(M, L^k) = O(k^n)\,, \quad k \to \infty. \tag{6.6}$$

Now, M is a $(n-2)$-concave manifold in the sense of [1] in particular Andreotti-pseudo-concave (see [26, Def. 3.4.3]). By [26, Th. 3.4.5] there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\dim H^0(M, L^k) \leqslant C k^{\varrho_k}, \qquad \text{for } k \geqslant 1, \tag{6.7}$$

where $\varrho_k = \max_{M \setminus B_k} \operatorname{rank} \Phi_k$ is the maximum rank of the Kodaira map

$$\Phi_k : M \setminus B_k \to \mathbb{P}(H^0(M, L^k)^*)\,, \quad \Phi_k(p) = \{s \in H^0(M, L^k) : s(p) = 0\}\,, \tag{6.8}$$

and B_k is the base locus of $H^0(M, L^k)$. Moreover, the field of meromorphic functions $\mathcal{K}_M$ is an algebraic field of transcendence degree $a(M) \leqslant \dim M$ and $\kappa(L) := \max_k \varrho_k \leqslant a(M)$. By (6.6) and (6.7) we obtain that $\varrho_k = n$ for large k and the desired conclusions follow. □

A gobal version of Theorem 1.14 goes like follows.

Corollary 6.3. *Let M be a projective manifold, $n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} M$, and let $X = \rho^{-1}(0) \subset M$ be a compact hypersurface, where $\rho \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies $d\rho|_X \neq 0$. We assume that the Levi form of X is non-degenerate and has at least two negative and two positive eigenvalues. Let $L \to M$ be a holomorphic line bundle whose curvature form R^L is positive on X and the Levi form $\partial\overline{\partial}\rho|_X$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 1.12. Then there exists a divisor $H \subset M \setminus X$ such that*

$$\dim H^0(M \setminus H, L^k) = \dim H^0(M, L^k \otimes [-H]) = O(k^n)\,, \quad k \to \infty\,.$$

Proof. Let us first observe that under the given hypotheses, there exist $b < 0 < c$ sucht that $M' = \{b < \rho < c\}$ is a $(n-2)$-convex–concave strip which fulfills the assumptions of Theorem 1.14. By (6.6), $\dim H^0(M', L^k) = O(k^n)$, $k \to \infty$. Since M' is Andreotti-pseudoconcave, a theorem of Dingoyan [18] (which generalizes classical results of Barth, Chow and Rossi) shows that there exists a divisor $H \subset M \setminus X$ such that the restriction morphisms $H^0(M \setminus H, L^k) \to H^0(M', L^k)$ and $H^0(M, L^k \otimes [-H]) \to H^0(M', L^k)$ are isomorphisms. □

6.2. **Holomorphic line bundles over complex torus.** Let

$$T_n := \mathbb{C}^n / (\sqrt{2\pi}\mathbb{Z}^n + i\sqrt{2\pi}\mathbb{Z}^n)$$

be the flat torus and let L_λ be the holomorphic line bundle over T_n with curvature the $(1,1)$-form $\Theta_\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j dz_j \wedge d\overline{z}_j$, where λ_j, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, are given non-zero integers. More precisely, $L_\lambda := \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C} / \sim$, where $(z, \theta) \sim (\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{\theta})$ if

$$\widetilde{z} - z = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \sqrt{2\pi}\mathbb{Z}^n + i\sqrt{2\pi}\mathbb{Z}^n\,, \quad \widetilde{\theta} = \exp\big(\textstyle\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j (z_j \overline{\alpha}_j + \frac{1}{2}\left|\alpha_j\right|^2)\big)\theta\,.$$

We can check that $\sim$ is an equivalence relation and L_λ is a holomorphic line bundle over T_n. For $[(z,\theta)] \in L_\lambda$ we define the Hermitian metric by

$$\left|[(z,\theta)]\right|^2 := \left|\theta\right|^2 \exp(-\textstyle\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \left|z_j\right|^2)$$

and it is easy to see that this definition is independent of the choice of a representative (z,θ) of $[(z,\theta)]$. We write $\phi_\lambda(z)$ to denote this Hermitian fiber metric. Note that $\partial\overline{\partial}\phi_\lambda = \Theta_\lambda$. From now on, we assume that $\lambda_j < 0$, for $j = 1, \ldots, n_-$ and $\lambda_j > 0$, for $j = n_- + 1, \ldots, n$.

Let L^*_λ be the dual bundle of L_λ and let $\|.\|_{L^*_\lambda}$ be the norm of L^*_λ induced by the Hermitian fiber metric on L_λ. Consider the compact CR manifold of dimension $2n+1$ $X = \{v \in L^*_\lambda;\ \|v\|_{L^*_\lambda} = 1\}$; this is the boundary of Grauert tube of L^*_λ.

Let $\pi : L^*_\lambda \to T_n$ be the natural projection from L^*_λ onto T_n. Let L_μ be another holomorphic line bundle over T_n determined by the constant curvature form $\Theta_\mu = \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_j dz_j \wedge d\overline{z}_j$, where μ_j, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, are given non-zero integers. The pullback line bundle $\pi^* L_\mu$ is a holomorphic line bundle over L^*_λ. The Hermitian fiber metric ϕ_μ on L_μ induces a Hermitian fiber metric on $\pi^* L_\mu$ that we shall denote by ψ. If we restict $\pi^* L_\mu$ on X, then $\pi^* L_\mu$ is a CR line bundle over the CR manifold X.

The part of X that lies over a fundamental domain of T_n can be represented in local holomorphic coordinates (z, ξ), where ξ is the fiber coordinates, as the set of all (z,ξ) such that $r(x,\xi) := \left|\xi\right|^2 \exp(\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \left|z_j\right|^2) - 1 = 0$ and the fiber metric ψ may be written as $\psi(z,\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_j \left|z_j\right|^2$. We can identify $\mathcal{L}_p$ with $\frac{1}{\|dr(p)\|} \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j dz_j \wedge d\overline{z}_j$. It is easy to see that $\partial\overline{\partial}\psi(p)|_{\Lambda^{1,0}T(X)} = \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_j dz_j \wedge d\overline{z}_j$. We get for all $p \in X$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\partial\overline{\partial}\psi(p)|_{\Lambda^{1,0}T(X)} + s\mathcal{L}_p = \sum_{j=1}^n \Big(\mu_j + \frac{s}{\|dr(p)\|}\lambda_j\Big) dz_j \wedge d\overline{z}_j\,.$$

Thus, if $\mu_j = \lambda_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, and $q \neq n_-, n - n_-$, then $\mathbb{R}_{\phi(p),q} = \emptyset$, for all $p \in X$. From this and Theorem 6.2, we obtain

Theorem 6.4. *If $\mu_j = \lambda_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, and $q \neq n_-, n - n_-$, then*

$$\dim H^q_b(X, (\pi^* L_\mu)^k) = o(k^{n+1})\,, \quad \textit{as } k \to \infty\,.$$

If $\mu_j = |\lambda_j|$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, we can check that $\left|\mathbb{R}_{\phi(p),0}\right| > 0$, for all $p \in X$, where $\left|\mathbb{R}_{\phi(p),0}\right|$ denotes the Lebesque measure of $\mathbb{R}_{\phi(p),0}$. Moreover, if $q > 0$ and $q \neq n_-, n - n_-$, then $\mathbb{R}_{\phi(p),q} = \emptyset$, for all $p \in X$. From this observation and strong Morse inequalities (Theorem 6.2), we obtain

Theorem 6.5. *If* $\mu_j = |\lambda_j|$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, *and* $Y(0)$, $Y(1)$ *hold, then*

$$\dim H^0_b(X, (\pi^* L_\mu)^k) = O(k^{n+1}), \quad \text{as } k \to \infty.$$

6.3. **Compact Heisenberg groups: non-embedded cases.** Let $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{n-1}$ be given non-zero integers. Let $\mathscr{C}H_n = (\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R})/_\sim$, where $(z, \theta) \sim (\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{\theta})$ if

$$\widetilde{z} - z = \alpha \in \sqrt{2\pi}\mathbb{Z}^{n-1} + i\sqrt{2\pi}\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}, \quad \widetilde{\theta} - \theta + i\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \lambda_j (z_j \overline{\alpha}_j - \overline{z}_j \alpha_j) \in \pi\mathbb{Z}.$$

We can check that $\sim$ is an equivalence relation and $\mathscr{C}H_n$ is a compact manifold of dimension $2n-1$. The equivalence class of $(z, \theta) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ is denoted by $[(z, \theta)]$. For a given point $p = [(z, \theta)]$, we define $\Lambda^{1,0}T_p(\mathscr{C}H_n)$ to be the space spanned by

$$\big\{\tfrac{\partial}{\partial z_j} - i\lambda_j \overline{z}_j \tfrac{\partial}{\partial \theta}, \ \ j = 1, \ldots, n-1\big\}.$$

It is easy to see that the definition above is independent of the choice of a representative (z, θ) for $[(z, \theta)]$. Moreover, we can check that $\Lambda^{1,0}T(\mathscr{C}H_n)$ is a CR structure. Thus, $(\mathscr{C}H_n, \Lambda^{1,0}T(\mathscr{C}H_n))$ is a compact CR manifold of dimension $2n-1$. We take a Hermitian metric $(\ |\ )$ on the complexified tangent bundle $\mathbb{C}T(\mathscr{C}H_n)$ such that

$$\Big\{\tfrac{\partial}{\partial z_j} - i\lambda_j \overline{z}_j \tfrac{\partial}{\partial \theta}, \tfrac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}_j} + i\lambda_j z_j \tfrac{\partial}{\partial \theta}, \tfrac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\,;\ j = 1, \ldots, n-1\Big\}$$

is an orthonormal basis. The dual basis of the complexified cotangent bundleis

$$\Big\{dz_j\,, \, d\overline{z}_j\,, \, \omega_0 := d\theta + \textstyle\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(i\lambda_j \overline{z}_j dz_j - i\lambda_j z_j d\overline{z}_j); j = 1, \ldots, n-1\Big\}.$$

The Levi form $\mathcal{L}_p$ of $\mathscr{C}H_n$ at $p \in \mathscr{C}H_n$ is given by $\mathcal{L}_p = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \lambda_j dz_j \wedge d\overline{z}_j$. From now on, we assume that $\lambda_1 < 0, \ldots, \lambda_{n_-} < 0, \lambda_{n_-+1} > 0, \ldots, \lambda_{n-1} > 0$. Thus, the Levi form has constant signature $(n_-, n-1-n_-)$.

Now, we construct a CR line bundle over $\mathscr{C}H_n$. Let $L = (\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C})/_\equiv$ where $(z, \theta, \eta) \equiv (\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{\theta}, \widetilde{\eta})$ if

$$(z, \theta) \sim (\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{\theta}), \quad \widetilde{\eta} = \eta \exp(\textstyle\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \mu_j (z_j \overline{\alpha}_j + \frac{1}{2}|\alpha_j|^2)), \quad \text{for } \alpha = \widetilde{z} - z.$$

where $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_{n-1}$, are given non-zero integers. We can check that $\equiv$ is an equivalence relation and L is a CR line bundle over $\mathscr{C}H_n$. For $(z, \theta, \eta) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ we denote $[(z, \theta, \eta)]$ its equivalence class. It is easy to see that the pointwise norm

$$\big|[(z, \theta, \eta)]\big|^2 := |\eta|^2 \exp\big(-\textstyle\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \mu_j |z_j|^2\big)$$

is well-defined. In local coordinates (z, θ, η), the weight function of this metric is $\phi = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \mu_j |z_j|^2$. Note that

$$\overline{\partial}_b = \textstyle\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} d\overline{z}_j \wedge (\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}_j} + i\lambda_j z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}), \quad \partial_b = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} dz_j \wedge (\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} - i\lambda_j \overline{z}_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}).$$

Thus $d(\overline{\partial}_b \phi - \partial_b \phi) = 2\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \mu_j dz_j \wedge d\overline{z}_j$ and $M^\phi_p = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \mu_j dz_j \wedge d\overline{z}_j$. Hence

$$M^\phi_p + s\mathcal{L}_p = \textstyle\sum_{j=1}^{n}(\mu_j + s\lambda_j) dz_j \wedge d\overline{z}_j, \quad \text{for all } p \in \mathscr{C}H_n,\ s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Thus, if $\mu_j = \lambda_j$, for all j, and $q \neq n_-, n-1-n_-$, then $\mathbb{R}_{\phi(p),q} = \emptyset$, for all $p \in X$. From this and Theorem 1.6, we obtain

Theorem 6.6. *If* $\mu_j = \lambda_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$, *and* $q \neq n_-, n-1-n_-$, *then*

$$\dim H^q_b(\mathscr{C}H_n, L^k) = o(k^n), \quad \text{as } k \to \infty.$$

If $\mu_j = |\lambda_j|$ for all j, we can check that $\big|\mathbb{R}_{\phi(p),0}\big| > 0$, for all $p \in X$, where $\big|\mathbb{R}_{\phi(p),0}\big|$ denotes the Lebesque measure of $\mathbb{R}_{\phi(p),0}$. Moreover, if $q > 0$ and $q \neq n_-, n-1-n_-$, then $\mathbb{R}_{\phi(p),q} = \emptyset$, for all $p \in X$. From this observation and the strong Morse inequalities (Theorem 1.9), we obtain

Theorem 6.7. *If* $\mu_j = |\lambda_j|$, $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$, *and* $Y(0)$, $Y(1)$ *hold, then*

$$\dim H^0_b(\mathscr{C}H_n, L^k) = O(k^n), \quad \text{as } k \to \infty.$$

References

[1] A. Andreotti and H. Grauert, *Théorème de finitude pour la cohomologie des espaces complexes*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **90** (1962), 193–259.

[2] A. Andreotti and C.Denson Hill, *E. E. Levi convexity and the Hans Lewy problem. I: Reduction to vanishing theorems.*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa, Sci. Fis. Mat., III. Ser. **26** (1972), 325–363.

[3] A. Andreotti and C.Denson Hill, *E. E. Levi convexity and the Hans Lewy problem. II: Vanishing theorems.*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa, Sci. Fis. Mat., III. Ser. **26** (1972),747–806.

[4] R. Beals and P. Greiner, *Calculus on Heisenberg manifolds*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, 119. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press. 200 p. (1988).

[5] R. Berman, *Bergman kernels and local holomorphic Morse inequalities*, Math. Z. **248** (2004), no. 2, 325–344.

[6] ———, *Holomorphic Morse inequalities on manifolds with boundary*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **55** (2005), no. 4, 1055–1103.

[7] B. Berndtsson, *An eigenvalue estimate for the $\overline{\partial}$-Laplacian*, J. Differential Geom. **60** (2002), no. 2, 295–313.

[8] J.-M. Bismut, *Demailly's asymptotic inequalities: a heat equation proof*, J. Funct. Anal. **72** (1987), 263–278.

[9] J.-M. Bismut and G. Lebeau, *Complex immersions and Quillen metrics*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1991), no. 74, ii+298 pp. (1992).

[10] J.-M. Bismut and E. Vasserot, *The asymptotics of the Ray–Singer analytic torsion associated with high powers of a positive line bundle*, Comm. Math. Phys. **125** 1989, 355–367.

[11] T. Bouche, *Asymptotic results for Hermitian line bundles over complex manifolds: the heat kernel approach*, Higher-dimensional complex varieties (Trento, 1994), de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996, pp. 67–81.

[12] L. Boutet de Monvel, *Hypoelliptic operators with double characteristics and related pseudo-differential operators*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **27** (1974), 585–639.

[13] L. Boutet de Monvel, *Intégration des équations de Cauchy-Riemann induites formelles*, Séminaire Goulaouic-Lions-Schwartz 1974–1975; Équations aux derivées partielles linéaires et non linéaires, Centre Math., École Polytech., Paris, 1975, Exp. no. 9, pp. 13.

[14] L. Boutet de Monvel and J. Sjöstrand, *Sur la singularité des noyaux de Bergman et de Szegö*, Astérisque, **34–35** (1976), 123–164.

[15] S-C. Chen and M-C. Shaw, *Partial differential equations in several complex variables*, AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Amer. Math. Soc, (2001).

[16] J.-P. Demailly, *Champs magnétiques et inegalités de Morse pour la d''–cohomologie*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **35** (1985), 189–229.

[17] ———, *Holomorphic Morse inequalities*, Several complex variables and complex geometry, Part 2 (Santa Cruz, CA, 1989), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 52, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991, pp. 93–114.

[18] P. Dingoyan, *Un phénomène de Hartogs dans les variétés projectives*, Math. Z. **232** (1999), No.2, 217–240.

[19] E. Epstein and G. Henkin, *Stability of embeddings for pseudoconcave surfaces and their boundaries*, Acta Math.,**185** (2000), no. 2, 161–237.

[20] G. B. Folland and J. J. Kohn, *The Neumann problem for the Cauchy-Riemann complex*, Annals of Mathematics Studies. No.75. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press and University of Tokyo Press, 146 p. (1972).

[21] S. Fu and H. Jacobowitz, *The $\overline{\partial}$-cohomology groups, holomorphic Morse inequalities, and finite type conditions*, preprint available at arXiv:math.CV/07121218.

[22] E. Getzler, *An analoque of Demailly's inequality for strictly pseudoconvex CR manifolds*, J. Differential Geom., **29** (1989), no. 2, 231–244.

[23] C-Y. Hsiao, *Projections in several complex variables*, Ph. D. Thesis, École Polytechnique (2008), preprint available at arXiv:math.CV/08104083, to appear in Mem. Soc. Math. France.

[24] J.J. Kohn, *Boundaries of complex manifolds.*, Proc. Conf. Complex Analysis, Minneapolis 1964, 81-94, (1965).

[25] J.J. Kohn and H. Rossi, *On the extension fo holomorphic functions from the boundary of a complex manifold.*, Ann. Math., **81** (1965), no. 2, 451–472.

[26] X. Ma and G. Marinescu, *Holomorphic Morse inequalities and Bergman kernels*, Progress in Math., vol. 254, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2007, 422 pp.

[27] G. Marinescu, *Asymptotic Morse Inequalities for Pseudoconcave Manifolds*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. **23** (1996), no. 1, 27–55.

[28] G. Marinescu, *Existence of holomorphic sections and perturbation of positive line bundles over q-concave manifolds*, preprint available at arXiv:math.CV/0402041.

[29] G. Marinescu and R. Ponge, *Analogues of the holomorphic Morse inequalities in CR geometry.* Proceedings of the Hayama symposium in several complex variables (Hayama, Japan, Dec. 18-21, 2005, T. Oshawa *et al.* eds.), 6 pages.

[30] G. Marinescu, R. Todor and I. Chiose, *L^2 holomorphic sections of bundles over weakly pseudoconvex coverings*, Geom. Dedicata, **91** (2002), 23–43.

[31] R. Ponge, *Announcement on JAMI2004*, Conference on Asymptotic and Effective Results in Complex Geometry (In Honor of Bernie Shiffman's 60 Birthday), March 15-21, (2004).

[32] M-C. Shaw and L. Wang, *Hölder and L^p estimates for $\Box_b$ on CR manifolds of arbitrary codimension*, Math. Ann., (2005), no. 331, 297–343.

[33] Stephen S.-T. Yau, *Kohn-Rossi cohomology and its application to the complex Plateau problem. I.*, Ann. of Math., **113** (1981), no. 1, 67–110.

[34] K. Yosida, *Functional Analysis*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer Verlag, (1980).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY AND THE UNIVERSITY OF GÖTEBORG, SE-412 96 GÖTEBORG, SWEDEN
E-mail address: `chinyu@chalmers.se`

UNIVERSITÄT ZU KÖLN, MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, WEYERTAL 86-90, 50931 KÖLN, GERMANY, & INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS 'SIMION STOILOW', ROMANIAN ACADEMY, BUCHAREST, ROMANIA
E-mail address: `gmarines@math.uni-koeln.de`